

Mental health disparities among first generation immigrants to the US

Ibrahim Lanre Folorunsho ^{1, *}, Nkechinyere Mary Harry ², Olaniyi David Ogundeji ³, Etinosa Idemudia ⁴, Nnenna Okafor ⁵, Chidalu Ibeneme ⁶ and Winifred Nnenna Nanim ⁷

¹ General Directorate of Health Affairs, Najran, Saudi Arabia.

² Vinnytsia National Pirogov Medical University, Vinnytsia Oblast, Ukraine.

³ Department of Mental Health, Johns Hopkins University, Bloomberg School of Public Health, Maryland, USA.

⁴ North Vista Hospital, Las Vegas, Nevada, USA.

⁵ All Saints University School of Medicine, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines.

⁶ University of Toledo, Toledo, Ohio, USA.

⁷ George Washington University, Washington, DC, USA.

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2024, 23(02), 153–160

Publication history: Received on 25 June 2024; revised on 30 July 2024; accepted on 02 August 2024

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.23.2.2351>

Abstract

Mental health disparities among first-generation immigrants to the United States are intricately linked to the historical and sociopolitical context of immigration. The Immigration and Nationality Act of 1965 and policies such as Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) have shaped the demographic and experiential landscape of first-generation immigrants, influencing their mental health outcomes.

Objective: This study aims to examine the mental health disparities experienced by first-generation immigrants in the United States, identifying the contributing factors and exploring effective strategies for addressing these disparities.

Methods: A comprehensive literature review was conducted, analyzing studies on mental health outcomes among first-generation immigrants. The review focused on factors contributing to mental health disparities, including pre-migration experiences, migration-related stressors, post-migration challenges, and systemic barriers to mental health care access. The review also explored intersectionality and its impact on mental health, as well as case studies and examples of successful interventions.

Results: The "immigrant paradox" suggests that immigrants often exhibit better mental health outcomes than native-born individuals, though this effect diminishes over time. Factors such as strong family ties and community support initially contribute to this mental health advantage. However, post-migration challenges, including acculturation stress, socioeconomic hardship, and discrimination, often lead to a decline in mental health. Certain mental health conditions, such as PTSD and anxiety disorders, are more prevalent among specific immigrant groups, particularly those fleeing conflict or persecution. Structural, cultural, and systemic barriers hinder access to mental health care, exacerbating disparities. Successful interventions often involve community-based approaches, culturally competent care, and integrated service models.

Conclusion: Addressing mental health disparities among first-generation immigrants requires a multifaceted approach, including community engagement, policy interventions, and culturally competent care. By investing in research and implementing evidence-based interventions, it is possible to reduce mental health disparities and improve outcomes for first-generation immigrants. Future research should focus on long-term mental health trajectories, the impact of digital technologies, and the role of social networks.

* Corresponding author: Ibrahim Lanre Folorunsho

Keywords: Mental health disparities; First-generation immigrants; United States; Immigrant paradox; Acculturation stress; Cultural competence; Community-based interventions; Policy interventions

1. Introduction

The mental health disparities among first-generation immigrants to the United States are deeply rooted in the historical and sociopolitical context of immigration. Throughout its history, the United States has experienced waves of immigration from various parts of the world, each bringing its own set of challenges and contributions to the nation's social fabric. The Immigration and Nationality Act of 1965 marked a significant shift in U.S. immigration policy, abolishing national-origin quotas and leading to increased diversity in the immigrant population (Batalova et al., 2021). More recent policies, such as the Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) program, have further shaped the landscape of immigration and the experiences of first-generation immigrants (Patler & Pirtle, 2018).

The mental health status of immigrants is a complex and multifaceted issue. Contrary to what might be expected given the stresses of migration, many studies have found that immigrants often exhibit better mental health outcomes than native-born individuals, a phenomenon known as the "immigrant paradox" or "healthy immigrant effect" (Alegría et al., 2008). This effect is particularly pronounced among recent immigrants and tends to diminish over time as immigrants acculturate to American society. However, this general trend masks significant variations across different immigrant groups and individual experiences.

Several factors contribute to the initial mental health advantage observed in some immigrant populations. These may include the selective nature of migration, where healthier individuals are more likely to undertake the challenges of immigration, as well as protective cultural factors such as strong family ties and community support (Salas-Wright et al., 2018). However, as immigrants face the challenges of adapting to a new society, they may experience a decline in mental health over time (Cook et al., 2009).

It's important to note that the immigrant paradox does not apply uniformly across all mental health conditions or all immigrant groups. Certain mental health issues, such as post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and anxiety disorders, may be more prevalent among specific immigrant populations, particularly those fleeing conflict or persecution (Kirmayer et al., 2011). Additionally, factors such as legal status, reason for migration, and reception in the host country can significantly impact mental health outcomes (Garcini et al., 2017).

The general mental health status of immigrants is also influenced by the broader social and political climate in the United States. Periods of heightened anti-immigrant sentiment and restrictive immigration policies can contribute to increased stress and mental health challenges among immigrant communities (Hatzenbuehler et al., 2017). Conversely, more inclusive policies and supportive social environments can have protective effects on immigrant mental health.

Understanding the mental health status of immigrants requires consideration of both pre-migration and post-migration factors. Pre-migration experiences, such as exposure to violence, political instability, or economic hardship in the country of origin, can have lasting impacts on mental health (Kirmayer et al., 2011). The migration process itself, often fraught with uncertainty and danger, can be a significant source of trauma, particularly for those who undertake perilous journeys or experience detention upon arrival (Steel et al., 2006).

Post-migration factors also play a crucial role in shaping the mental health trajectories of first-generation immigrants. These include the challenges of adapting to a new culture, learning a new language, finding employment, and rebuilding social networks (Pumariega et al., 2005). The stress of acculturation, which involves negotiating between one's heritage culture and the host culture, can be particularly intense for first-generation immigrants (Berry, 1997). This process can lead to acculturative stress, which has been associated with various mental health issues, including depression and anxiety (Hovey & Magaña, 2000).

2. Factors Contributing to Mental Health Disparities

The mental health disparities experienced by first-generation immigrants are the result of an interplay of pre-migration, migration-related, and post-migration factors. Pre-migration factors often include experiences of trauma, political oppression, or economic instability in the country of origin. For instance, immigrants fleeing war-torn regions may have experienced or witnessed violence, leading to higher rates of PTSD and other trauma-related mental health issues (Fazel et al., 2005).

The migration process itself can be a significant source of stress and trauma. Many immigrants face dangerous journeys, separation from family members, and uncertainty about their future. Those who enter the country without documentation may experience additional stressors related to their legal status, including fear of deportation and limited access to resources (Garcini et al., 2017). Even for those who enter legally, the process of obtaining and maintaining legal status can be a source of chronic stress (Gonzales et al., 2013).

Post-migration factors often present the most persistent challenges to immigrant mental health. Socioeconomic status plays a crucial role, as many immigrants face economic hardship, underemployment, and poor housing conditions upon arrival in the United States (Alegría et al., 2007). Language barriers can exacerbate these challenges, limiting employment opportunities and hindering access to healthcare and other social services (Kim et al., 2011).

Cultural adjustment and acculturation stress are significant contributors to mental health disparities. The process of adapting to a new culture while maintaining one's cultural identity can be psychologically taxing. This stress is often compounded by experiences of discrimination and social exclusion, which have been consistently linked to poor mental health outcomes among immigrant populations (Paradies et al., 2015).

Access to healthcare services, particularly mental health services, is another critical factor in mental health disparities. Many immigrants face barriers to accessing care, including lack of health insurance, limited availability of culturally competent services, and stigma surrounding mental health issues within their communities (Derr, 2016). These barriers can lead to delayed care-seeking and untreated mental health conditions.

2.1. Specific Mental Health Issues Among First-Generation Immigrants

While the prevalence and severity of mental health issues vary across different immigrant groups, several common mental health disorders are frequently observed among first-generation immigrants. Depression is one of the most prevalent mental health issues in this population, with rates often increasing with length of stay in the United States (Alegría et al., 2008). This trend may be related to the erosion of protective factors over time and the cumulative impact of stressors associated with the immigrant experience.

Anxiety disorders are also common among first-generation immigrants, often manifesting as generalized anxiety, panic disorder, or social anxiety. These conditions may be exacerbated by the uncertainties and challenges of navigating a new society, as well as concerns about family members left behind in the country of origin (Salas-Wright et al., 2018).

PTSD is particularly prevalent among refugees and asylum seekers, who may have experienced or witnessed traumatic events before or during migration. The symptoms of PTSD can be long-lasting and may interfere significantly with the process of adaptation to the new country (Fazel et al., 2005).

Substance use disorders are another significant concern among first-generation immigrants, although patterns of substance use vary widely across different immigrant groups. Some studies have found lower rates of substance use among recent immigrants compared to native-born individuals, but this protective effect often diminishes over time (Salas-Wright et al., 2014). Factors such as acculturative stress, social isolation, and exposure to new cultural norms around substance use can contribute to the development of substance use disorders in this population.

In addition to these common mental health disorders, first-generation immigrants often face unique mental health challenges related to their immigrant experience. Identity issues and cultural conflicts are particularly salient for this group, as they navigate between their heritage culture and the dominant culture of their new home. This process can lead to feelings of cultural marginalization or "not belonging" in either culture, which can negatively impact mental health (Berry, 2005).

Family dynamics and intergenerational conflicts also play a significant role in the mental health of first-generation immigrants. Children of immigrants often acculturate more quickly than their parents, leading to potential conflicts over values, expectations, and cultural practices. These conflicts can strain family relationships and contribute to psychological distress for both parents and children (Portes & Rumbaut, 2001).

2.2. Intersectionality and Mental Health Disparities

The mental health disparities experienced by first-generation immigrants are not uniform across all subgroups. Instead, they are shaped by the intersection of various social identities and factors, including gender, age, ethnicity, and socioeconomic status.

Gender plays a significant role in shaping the mental health experiences of first-generation immigrants. Immigrant women often face unique challenges, including higher rates of social isolation, increased caregiving responsibilities, and greater vulnerability to domestic violence (Yakushko & Chronister, 2005). These factors can contribute to higher rates of depression and anxiety among immigrant women compared to their male counterparts. However, immigrant men may face different challenges, such as pressure to fulfill traditional provider roles and difficulties adjusting to changing gender norms, which can also impact their mental health (Donato et al., 2011).

Age is another crucial factor in understanding mental health disparities among first-generation immigrants. Elderly immigrants often face compounded challenges, including social isolation, language barriers, and loss of social status, which can contribute to higher rates of depression and cognitive decline (Treas & Mazumdar, 2002). On the other hand, children and adolescents who immigrate or are born to immigrant parents face their own set of challenges, including academic pressures, identity conflicts, and navigating between different cultural expectations at home and in school (Pumariega et al., 2005).

Ethnicity and race intersect with immigrant status to shape mental health outcomes in complex ways. Different ethnic groups may face varying levels of discrimination and acculturative stress based on their perceived cultural distance from the dominant culture. For example, studies have found that Latino and Asian immigrants often report lower levels of perceived discrimination compared to Black immigrants, which can influence mental health outcomes (Gee et al., 2006). Additionally, the reception of different immigrant groups in the United States, influenced by historical, political, and social factors, can significantly impact their mental health experiences.

Socioeconomic status is a critical factor that also intersects with immigrant status to influence mental health. Many first-generation immigrants experience downward social mobility upon arrival in the United States, which can be a significant source of stress and contribute to poor mental health outcomes (Alegría et al., 2007). Economic hardship can limit access to healthcare, increase exposure to environmental stressors, and exacerbate other challenges faced by immigrant populations.

2.3. Barriers to Mental Health Care

Despite the high prevalence of mental health issues among first-generation immigrants, this population often faces significant barriers to accessing and utilizing mental health services. These barriers can be broadly categorized into structural, cultural, and systemic barriers.

Structural barriers include issues related to the availability and accessibility of mental health services. Many immigrant communities are located in areas with limited mental health resources, making it difficult to access care (Derr, 2016). Additionally, lack of health insurance coverage is a significant barrier for many immigrants, particularly those who are undocumented or have recently arrived in the country. Even when services are available, immigrants may face challenges related to transportation, childcare, or work schedules that make it difficult to attend appointments.

Cultural barriers play a significant role in limiting access to mental health care among first-generation immigrants. Stigma associated with mental health issues is prevalent in many immigrant communities, often rooted in cultural beliefs and norms (Nadeem et al., 2007). This stigma can prevent individuals from seeking help or even acknowledging mental health problems. In addition, many immigrants come from cultures with different conceptualizations of mental health and may not recognize their symptoms as mental health issues that require professional intervention.

The lack of culturally competent care providers is another significant barrier. Many mental health professionals may not be familiar with the cultural backgrounds, beliefs, and experiences of immigrant populations, leading to misunderstandings, misdiagnoses, or ineffective treatments (Sue et al., 2009). This cultural mismatch can result in negative experiences with mental health services, discouraging further help-seeking behavior.

Systemic barriers also play a crucial role in limiting access to mental health care for first-generation immigrants. Policies and regulations affecting healthcare access, such as waiting periods for public health insurance eligibility for legal immigrants, can significantly impact the ability to receive timely mental health care (Derose et al., 2007). Language barriers and the lack of adequate translation services in many healthcare settings further compound these challenges, making it difficult for immigrants with limited English proficiency to navigate the healthcare system and communicate effectively with providers (Kim et al., 2011).

2.4. Strategies and Interventions to Mitigate the Mental Health Disparities

Addressing the mental health disparities among first-generation immigrants requires a multifaceted approach that encompasses community-based strategies, policy interventions, culturally competent care, and integration of services. Community-based approaches have shown promise in addressing mental health issues among immigrant populations. These approaches often leverage existing social networks and cultural strengths within immigrant communities. For example, community organizations and support groups can play a vital role in providing culturally appropriate mental health education, reducing stigma, and facilitating access to care (Weine et al., 2008). Peer support programs, where individuals from similar cultural backgrounds who have successfully navigated the mental health system provide guidance and support to others, have also shown effectiveness in increasing mental health service utilization and improving outcomes (Tran et al., 2014).

Policy interventions are also important for addressing systemic barriers to mental health care access. Recommendations for policy changes include expanding health insurance coverage for immigrant populations, reducing waiting periods for public health insurance eligibility, and increasing funding for mental health services in underserved communities (Derose et al., 2007). Additionally, policies that promote social and economic integration of immigrants, such as language assistance programs and job training initiatives, can indirectly support mental health by reducing stressors and improving overall well-being.

Developing culturally competent care is essential for improving mental health outcomes among first-generation immigrants. This involves not only training healthcare providers in cultural competence but also increasing the diversity of the mental health workforce to better reflect the populations being served (Sue et al., 2009). Culturally tailored mental health services that incorporate traditional healing practices, religious beliefs, and cultural values have shown promise in improving engagement and outcomes among immigrant populations (Benish et al., 2011).

Integration of mental health services with other social services can also help address the needs of first-generation immigrants. Collaborative care models that integrate mental health services into primary care settings have been shown to be effective in improving access to care and mental health outcomes (Katon et al., 2010). Additionally, partnerships between mental health providers and other community organizations serving immigrants, such as legal aid services, employment agencies, and schools, can help address the multifaceted challenges faced by this population.

3. Case Studies and Examples

Several successful interventions have demonstrated effective approaches to addressing mental health disparities among first-generation immigrants. One notable example is the Prevention and Access to Care and Treatment (PACT) program in Boston, which utilized community health workers from immigrant communities to provide culturally appropriate mental health education and care coordination (Waitzkin et al., 2011). The program resulted in improved mental health outcomes and increased service utilization among participants.

Another successful intervention is the Cognitive-Behavioral Intervention for Trauma in Schools (CBITS) program, which has been adapted for use with immigrant and refugee children. This school-based intervention combines group and individual sessions to address trauma-related symptoms and has shown effectiveness in reducing PTSD and depression symptoms among immigrant youth (Kataoka et al., 2003).

The lessons learned from these and other initiatives highlight the importance of community engagement, cultural adaptation of evidence-based practices, and addressing social determinants of mental health in interventions targeting first-generation immigrants. Successful programs often involve collaboration between mental health professionals, community organizations, and members of the immigrant community itself.

4. Future Directions and Research Needs

Despite the growing body of research on mental health disparities among first-generation immigrants, significant gaps in knowledge remain. Future research should focus on several key areas to enhance our understanding and inform more effective interventions. One critical area for further investigation is the long-term trajectory of mental health among first-generation immigrants. While the immigrant paradox has been well-documented in short-term studies, less is known about how mental health evolves over decades and across generations. Longitudinal studies that track immigrants from arrival through various stages of acculturation could provide valuable insights into the factors that promote resilience or contribute to vulnerability over time.

Another important area for research is the impact of digital technologies on immigrant mental health. The increasing availability of telehealth services and mobile mental health applications offers potential solutions to some of the barriers faced by immigrant populations, such as geographic isolation or stigma. However, more research is needed to understand how these technologies can be effectively adapted and implemented for diverse immigrant groups.

The role of social networks and community-level factors in immigrant mental health also warrants further exploration. While the protective effects of strong family ties and ethnic enclaves have been noted, the mechanisms through which these factors influence mental health outcomes are not fully understood. Research examining how social capital and community resources interact with individual-level factors could inform more effective community-based interventions.

Emerging trends in global migration, such as climate-induced displacement and the increasing prevalence of mixed-status families, present new challenges for immigrant mental health. Research is needed to understand the unique mental health needs of these populations and to develop appropriate interventions.

Innovation in mental health care delivery for immigrant populations is another area ripe for exploration. This could include the development and evaluation of culturally adapted evidence-based treatments, novel approaches to reducing stigma, and strategies for integrating traditional healing practices with Western mental health care.

5. Conclusion

The mental health disparities experienced by first-generation immigrants to the United States represent a complex and multifaceted public health challenge. This review has highlighted the various factors contributing to these disparities, including pre-migration experiences, the stresses of the migration process itself, and the challenges of adapting to life in a new country. We have explored how these factors intersect with other social identities such as gender, age, ethnicity, and socioeconomic status to shape mental health outcomes.

The review has also underscored the significant barriers that many first-generation immigrants face in accessing mental health care, including structural obstacles, cultural barriers, and systemic issues. Despite these challenges, we have seen that there are promising strategies and interventions that can help address these disparities. Community-based approaches, policy interventions, culturally competent care, and integrated service models all offer pathways to improving mental health outcomes for immigrant populations. The implications of these findings for policy and practice are significant. At the policy level, there is a clear need for more inclusive healthcare policies that expand access to mental health services for immigrant populations. This includes addressing issues of insurance coverage, increasing funding for culturally competent mental health services, and implementing policies that promote the social and economic integration of immigrants.

In terms of practice, the importance of cultural competence in mental health care cannot be overstated. Mental health professionals working with immigrant populations need to be trained in cultural competence and trauma-informed care. Additionally, there is a need for greater diversity in the mental health workforce to better reflect the populations being served.

The mental health of first-generation immigrants is not just an individual or family issue, but a societal one. The well-being of immigrant populations has far-reaching implications for public health, social cohesion, and the economic vitality of communities across the United States. As such, addressing mental health disparities among first-generation immigrants should be a priority for policymakers, healthcare providers, and communities alike. While the challenges are significant, there is reason for optimism. The resilience demonstrated by many immigrant populations, coupled with growing awareness of these issues and innovative approaches to addressing them, provides a foundation for progress. By continuing to invest in research, implementing evidence-based interventions, and fostering a more inclusive and supportive society, we can work towards reducing mental health disparities and improving outcomes for first-generation immigrants and their families.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

- [1] Alegría, M., Canino, G., Shrout, P. E., Woo, M., Duan, N., Vila, D., ... & Meng, X. L. (2008). Prevalence of mental illness in immigrant and non-immigrant U.S. Latino groups. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 165(3), 359-369.
- [2] Alegría, M., Mulvaney-Day, N., Torres, M., Polo, A., Cao, Z., & Canino, G. (2007). Prevalence of psychiatric disorders across Latino subgroups in the United States. *American Journal of Public Health*, 97(1), 68-75.
- [3] Batalova, J., Hanna, M., & Levesque, C. (2021). Frequently requested statistics on immigrants and immigration in the United States. Migration Policy Institute.
- [4] Benish, S. G., Quintana, S., & Wampold, B. E. (2011). Culturally adapted psychotherapy and the legitimacy of myth: A direct-comparison meta-analysis. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 58(3), 279-289.
- [5] Berry, J. W. (1997). Immigration, acculturation, and adaptation. *Applied Psychology*, 46(1), 5-34.
- [6] Berry, J. W. (2005). Acculturation: Living successfully in two cultures. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 29(6), 697-712.
- [7] Cook, B., Alegría, M., Lin, J. Y., & Guo, J. (2009). Pathways and correlates connecting Latinos' mental health with exposure to the United States. *American Journal of Public Health*, 99(12), 2247-2254.
- [8] Derose, K. P., Escarce, J. J., & Lurie, N. (2007). Immigrants and health care: Sources of vulnerability. *Health Affairs*, 26(5), 1258-1268.
- [9] Derr, A. S. (2016). Mental health service use among immigrants in the United States: A systematic review. *Psychiatric Services*, 67(3), 265-274.
- [10] Donato, K. M., Gabaccia, D., Holdaway, J., Manalansan, M., & Pessar, P. R. (2011). A glass half full? Gender in migration studies. *International Migration Review*, 45(1), 3-26.
- [11] Fazel, M., Wheeler, J., & Danesh, J. (2005). Prevalence of serious mental disorder in 7000 refugees resettled in western countries: A systematic review. *The Lancet*, 365(9467), 1309-1314.
- [12] Garcini, L. M., Peña, J. M., Galvan, T., Fagundes, C. P., Malcarne, V., & Klonoff, E. A. (2017). Mental health of undocumented immigrant adults in the United States: A systematic review of methodology and findings. *Journal of Immigrant & Refugee Studies*, 15(1), 1-25.
- [13] Gee, G. C., Ryan, A., Laflamme, D. J., & Holt, J. (2006). Self-reported discrimination and mental health status among African descendants, Mexican Americans, and other Latinos in the New Hampshire REACH 2010 Initiative: The added dimension of immigration. *American Journal of Public Health*, 96(10), 1821-1828.
- [14] Gonzales, R. G., Suárez-Orozco, C., & Dedios-Sanguinetti, M. C. (2013). No place to belong: Contextualizing concepts of mental health among undocumented immigrant youth in the United States. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 57(8), 1174-1199.
- [15] Hatzenbuehler, M. L., Prins, S. J., Flake, M., Philbin, M., Frazer, M. S., Hagen, D., & Hirsch, J. (2017). Immigration policies and mental health morbidity among Latinos: A state-level analysis. *Social Science & Medicine*, 174, 169-178.
- [16] Hovey, J. D., & Magaña, C. G. (2000). Acculturative stress, anxiety, and depression among Mexican immigrant farmworkers in the Midwest United States. *Journal of Immigrant Health*, 2(3), 119-131.
- [17] Kataoka, S. H., Stein, B. D., Jaycox, L. H., Wong, M., Escudero, P., Tu, W., ... & Fink, A. (2003). A school-based mental health program for traumatized Latino immigrant children. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 42(3), 311-318.
- [18] Katon, W. J., Lin, E. H., Von Korff, M., Ciechanowski, P., Ludman, E. J., Young, B., ... & McCulloch, D. (2010). Collaborative care for patients with depression and chronic illnesses. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 363(27), 2611-2620.
- [19] Kim, G., Aguado Loi, C. X., Chiriboga, D. A., Jang, Y., Parmelee, P., & Allen, R. S. (2011). Limited English proficiency as a barrier to mental health service use: A study of Latino and Asian immigrants with psychiatric disorders. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 45(1), 104-110.
- [20] Kirmayer, L. J., Narasiah, L., Munoz, M., Rashid, M., Ryder, A. G., Guzder, J., ... & Pottie, K. (2011). Common mental health problems in immigrants and refugees: General approach in primary care. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 183(12), E959-E967.

- [21] Nadeem, E., Lange, J. M., Edge, D., Fongwa, M., Belin, T., & Miranda, J. (2007). Does stigma keep poor young immigrant and U.S.-born Black and Latina women from seeking mental health care? *Psychiatric Services*, 58(12), 1547-1554.
- [22] Paradies, Y., Ben, J., Denson, N., Elias, A., Priest, N., Pieterse, A., ... & Gee, G. (2015). Racism as a determinant of health: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PloS One*, 10(9), e0138511.
- [23] Patler, C., & Pirtle, W. L. (2018). From undocumented to lawfully present: Do changes to legal status impact psychological wellbeing among latino immigrant young adults? *Social Science & Medicine*, 199, 39-48.
- [24] Portes, A., & Rumbaut, R. G. (2001). *Legacies: The story of the immigrant second generation*. University of California Press.
- [25] Pumariega, A. J., Rothe, E., & Pumariega, J. B. (2005). Mental health of immigrants and refugees. *Community Mental Health Journal*, 41(5), 581-597.
- [26] Salas-Wright, C. P., Vaughn, M. G., Clark, T. T., Terzis, L. D., & Córdova, D. (2014). Substance use disorders among first- and second-generation immigrant adults in the United States: Evidence of an immigrant paradox? *Journal of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs*, 75(6), 958-967.
- [27] Salas-Wright, C. P., Vaughn, M. G., Goings, T. C., Miller, D. P., & Schwartz, S. J. (2018). Immigrants and mental disorders in the united states: New evidence on the healthy migrant hypothesis. *Psychiatry Research*, 267, 438-445.
- [28] Steel, Z., Silove, D., Brooks, R., Momartin, S., Alzuhairi, B., & Susljik, I. (2006). Impact of immigration detention and temporary protection on the mental health of refugees. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 188(1), 58-64.
- [29] Sue, S., Zane, N., Nagayama Hall, G. C., & Berger, L. K. (2009). The case for cultural competency in psychotherapeutic interventions. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 60, 525-548.
- [30] Tran, A. N., Ornelas, I. J., Kim, M., Perez, G., Green, M., Lyn, M. J., & Corbie-Smith, G. (2014). Results from a pilot promotora program to reduce depression and stress among immigrant Latinas. *Health Promotion Practice*, 15(3), 365-372.
- [31] Treas, J., & Mazumdar, S. (2002). Older people in America's immigrant families: Dilemmas of dependence, integration, and isolation. *Journal of Aging Studies*, 16(3), 243-258.
- [32] Waitzkin, H., Getrich, C., Heying, S., Rodríguez, L., Parmar, A., Willging, C., ... & Santos, R. (2011). Promotoras as mental health practitioners in primary care: A multi-method study of an intervention to address contextual sources of depression. *Journal of Community Health*, 36(2), 316-331.
- [33] Weine, S., Kulauzovic, Y., Klebic, A., Besic, S., Mujagic, A., Muzurovic, J., ... & Rolland, J. (2008). Evaluating a multiple-family group access intervention for refugees with PTSD. *Journal of Marital and Family Therapy*, 34(2), 149-164.
- [34] Yakushko, O., & Chronister, K. M. (2005). Immigrant women and counseling: The invisible others. *Journal of Counseling & Development*, 83(3), 292-298.