



(REVIEW ARTICLE)



Usage of Information technology for the success of managing various stages of the COVID-19 pandemic

Sahan Nishok Purasinghe *

School of Graduate Studies, Asia e University, Suban Jaya, Selangor, Malaysia.

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2024, 24(01), 2464–2487

Publication history: Received on 17 September 2024; revised on 24 October 2024; accepted on 26 October 2024

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.24.1.3254>

Abstract

This study explores the crucial role of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) in managing the COVID-19 pandemic, focusing on its impact on surveillance, contact tracing, patient monitoring, telemedicine, artificial intelligence (AI), vaccination logistics, communication, and public education. ICT has facilitated the collection and analysis of real-time data, enabling authorities to make informed decisions about containment strategies. Digital contact tracing systems have been instrumental in limiting the virus's spread, while remote patient monitoring and telemedicine have allowed healthcare services to continue with minimal transmission risk. AI and machine learning have enhanced the speed and accuracy of COVID-19 diagnosis, and ICT has streamlined vaccine distribution and monitoring to ensure equitable access. Additionally, online platforms have played a key role in public education, fostering collaboration among researchers and promoting interdisciplinary research efforts.

The study emphasizes that the continued integration of ICT into healthcare systems is critical for addressing future global health crises. However, policymakers must invest in ICT infrastructure, bridge the digital divide, and ensure that marginalized populations have equitable access to these technologies. The findings highlight the importance of ongoing ICT development to improve healthcare outcomes in future pandemics and suggest that collaboration across sectors is essential for maximizing the potential of these digital tools. Ultimately, ICT has been pivotal in the effective management of the COVID-19 pandemic and will be vital in future crisis preparedness.

Keywords: ICT in pandemic management; Covid-19 contact tracing; Telemedicine and AI in healthcare; Vaccine distribution logistics

1. Introduction

1.1. Background of the COVID-19 pandemic

Late in 2019, the COVID-19 pandemic, induced by the unusual coronavirus SARS-CoV-2, emerged and has since become a global public health crisis. The pandemic has had a significant impact on the lives of millions of individuals, causing pervasive morbidity and mortality, disrupting economies, and straining healthcare systems (World Health Organization, 2020). Since the first cases were documented in Wuhan, China, the disease has spread to nearly every corner of the globe (Huang et al., 2020). On January 30, 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) proclaimed the outbreak a Public Health Emergency of International Concern, and on March 11, 2020, it was classified as a pandemic (Cucinotta & Vanelli, 2020).

Since the beginning of the pandemic, researchers and medical professionals have worked assiduously to comprehend the virus, its transmission, and its impact on human health. SARS-CoV-2 is transmitted predominantly through

* Corresponding author: Sahan N Purasinghe

respiratory droplets and close contact with infected people (Wiersinga et al., 2020). The incubation period of the virus is approximately 5-6 days but can range from 1 to 14 days (Lauer et al., 2020). Some individuals with COVID-19 remain asymptomatic, whereas others develop severe respiratory distress and multi-organ failure (Guan et al., 2020). The disease is typically more severe in elderly adults and those with underlying health conditions (Wu & McGoogan, 2020). Governments across the globe have implemented a variety of public health measures to halt the virus's spread and safeguard their populations. These measures include travel restrictions, physical separation, mask use, testing and contact tracing, as well as quarantine or isolation of infected individuals (Anderson, Heesterbeek, Klinkenberg, & Hollingsworth, 2020). However, the effectiveness of these measures has varied across nations and regions, resulting in varying degrees of success in containing the virus's spread (Chinazzi, et al., 2019).

As the pandemic has progressed, scientists have made substantial advances in the development of COVID-19 diagnostics, treatments, and vaccines. Rapid and accurate diagnostic tests have been essential in identifying and isolating infected individuals, thereby preventing their spread (Eshin, et al., 2020). In treating COVID-19 patients, the repurposing of existing antiviral pharmaceuticals, such as remdesivir, and the development of novel therapies, such as monoclonal antibodies, have shown promise (Beigel, et al., 2020). Specifically, the rapid development and deployment of effective vaccines have provided hope for containing the pandemic (Polack et al., 2020; Baden et al., 2021).

Information and communication technology (ICT) has emerged as an indispensable instrument for managing the COVID-19 pandemic. Several aspects of pandemic management, such as surveillance and monitoring, diagnosis and treatment, vaccination and logistics management, and communication and public awareness, have benefited greatly from the use of information and communication technologies (Whitelaw et al., 2021). The effective application of ICT has the potential to not only mitigate the effects of the current pandemic, but also better prepare for and respond to future public health crises. The COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the significance of ICT in managing public health crises, as this essay will demonstrate. This essay will examine the various ways ICT has been utilized in the successful management of COVID-19, focusing on its applications in surveillance and monitoring, diagnosis and treatment, vaccination and logistics management, communication and public awareness.

1.2. Importance of ICT in managing the pandemic

The COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the importance of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) in mitigating and managing global crises (Mishra et al., 2020). The rapid spread of the virus has necessitated an unprecedented degree of collaboration and data sharing between diverse sectors, including healthcare, education, and business (Haleem & Javaid, 2020). ICT has played a critical role in facilitating communication and data exchange, allowing stakeholders to conceive effective strategies to contain the virus's spread (Pandey et al., 2020). One of the most important roles of ICT in pandemic management is to improve the capacity of health systems to provide timely and accurate information (Whitelaw et al., 2020). Digital health technologies, such as telemedicine, have enabled healthcare providers to offer remote consultation and care to patients, thereby minimizing transmission risk and conserving healthcare resources (Smith et al., 2020). Moreover, health information systems have enabled real-time monitoring and reporting of COVID-19 cases, enabling timely interventions and informed decision-making (Menni et al., 2020).

Another important contribution of ICT to the pandemic was in the area of contact tracing (Ferretti et al., 2020). Governments and organizations have developed digital contact tracing applications to identify and notify individuals who may have come into contact with confirmed COVID-19 cases, thereby preventing the virus's dissemination (Salathé et al., 2020). These applications have proven to be valuable in containing outbreaks and supporting public health measures when used effectively and ethically (Braithwaite, Callender, Bullock, & Aldridge, 2020). ICT has also been instrumental in facilitating remote work and education, as social distancing and closure measures have compelled many organizations and schools to move their operations online (Adedoyin & Soykan). E-learning platforms and collaboration tools have enabled students and employees to continue their education and work from home, mitigating the pandemic's impact on productivity and learning (Van Lancker & Parolin, 2020). This transition to remote work and education has not been without difficulties, but it has highlighted the significance of digital infrastructure and technology access (Huang et al., 2020).

Moreover, ICT has been instrumental in disseminating information and raising awareness about the virus, its symptoms, and preventative measures (Chou, Gaysynsky, & Vanderpool, 2020). During these trying times, social media platforms and messaging applications have been utilized to spread public health messages, combat misinformation, and cultivate a sense of community (Pennycook et al., 2020). Nonetheless, the spread of false information and conspiracy theories has underscored the need for effective communication strategies and digital literacy interventions (Vraga & Bode, 2020). ICT has been indispensable in managing the COVID-19 pandemic, as it has supported the healthcare system, enabled remote work and education, and disseminated vital information. The pandemic has highlighted the significance

of digital infrastructure and technology access, highlighting the need to invest in and develop ICT capabilities further. The pandemic has disclosed the potential of ICT to address global crises and shape the future of healthcare, education, and the workplace (Ohannessian, Duong, & Odone, 2020).

Aim and objectives of the essay

This essay will investigate the role of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) in the successful management of the COVID-19 pandemic. To reach this objective, the following objectives have been established:

- Examine the impact of ICT on enhancing the capacity of health systems during the pandemic.
- Examine the function of digital contact tracing in preventing the virus' spread.
- Analyze the ICT-facilitated transition to remote labor and education.
- During the pandemic, evaluate the efficacy of ICT in disseminating information and combating misinformation.

1.3. Significance of the Study

This study has the potential to cast light on the crucial role of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) in managing the COVID-19 pandemic, which is its significance. The unprecedented crisis has exerted enormous pressure on governments, healthcare systems, and societies throughout the globe (Nicola et al., 2020). Understanding the role of ICT in mitigating the pandemic's impact can assist policymakers, healthcare providers, and other stakeholders in employing effective strategies to manage the crisis and prepare for future public health emergencies (NASEM, 2020). The analysis can shed light on how ICT has enhanced the capacity of health systems during the pandemic. Utilizing telemedicine, electronic health records, and digital platforms for patient monitoring has allowed healthcare providers to provide timely care while decreasing the burden on the healthcare system (Ohannessian, Duong, & Odone, 2020). Understanding the successful implementation of these technologies will allow for more effective management of future health crises.

And also, research will investigate the function of digital contact tracing in preventing the propagation of the virus. Contact tracing applications have played a crucial role in identifying potential cases and controlling transmission (Baden, et al., 2021). The lessons acquired from the deployment of these applications can be applied to the development and implementation of similar technologies during future pandemics. In addition, this study will examine the ICT-facilitated transition to remote employment and education. The pandemic has accelerated the adoption of digital tools across multiple industries, including education and employment (Adedoyin & Soykan). Understanding the challenges and opportunities associated with this transition can provide organizations and educational institutions with invaluable insight for the future.

Furthermore, the study will evaluate the efficacy of ICT in disseminating information and combating misinformation throughout the pandemic. During the crisis, misinformation has been a significant obstacle, causing public confusion and mistrust (Pennycook & Rand, 2020). By examining the role of ICT in addressing this issue, strategies can be developed to enhance communication and increase public trust in the event of future disasters. This research is crucial to comprehending the crucial function of ICT in managing the COVID-19 pandemic. The findings can inform policy decisions, healthcare practices, and organizational strategies, thereby enhancing preparedness and response to future public health crises.

2. Review of Literature

2.1. ICT in pandemic management: A theoretical perspective

The theoretical perspective on ICT in pandemic management can be better comprehended by analyzing its role in various public health and crisis response contexts. Using ICT for surveillance and data administration during pandemics is a crucial aspect. Digital tools have facilitated the collection and analysis of real-time data, enabling quicker and more informed decision-making (Drew et al., 2020). Geographic information systems (GIS) have been utilized extensively to visualize and track the spread of the virus, thereby contributing to improved resource allocation and containment efforts (Kamel Boulos & Al-Shorbaji, 2014). Health communication is another crucial area where ICT has played a significant role. Digital platforms have facilitated the timely dissemination of information and public health guidelines, increasing population awareness and adherence (Merchant & Lurie, 2020). During the pandemic, social media platforms have also been utilized for risk communication and combating misinformation (Pulido et al., 2020).

ICT has also revolutionized healthcare delivery by facilitating remote care via telemedicine, thereby reducing the strain on healthcare systems and the risk of transmission (Ohannessian, Duong, & Odone, 2020). Moreover, mobile health (mHealth) applications have facilitated self-monitoring and remote consultations, thereby increased patient outcomes and expanding access to care (Bokolo, 2020). The pandemic has illuminated the significance of digital infrastructure and crisis readiness. Theoretical frameworks, such as the technology acceptance model (TAM) and the diffusion of innovation theory, can offer valuable insights into the factors influencing the adoption and use of ICT in pandemic management (Guzman & Delgado, 2020). The theoretical perspective on ICT in pandemic management highlights its crucial role in a variety of public health and crisis response aspects. Understanding these contributions can inform future strategies and investments in digital infrastructure to improve public health emergency preparedness and resilience.

2.1.1. Concepts and theories related to ICT in health management

Particularly during the COVID-19 pandemic, concepts and theories pertaining to ICT in health management have received considerable attention. Numerous frameworks and models have been investigated by scholars in order to comprehend the adoption, implementation, and effectiveness of ICT in this context. The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) is a key theoretical framework used in ICT health management; it postulates that perceived usefulness and simplicity of use influence the adoption and use of technology. TAM has been used in the context of health management to examine healthcare providers' and patients' attitudes toward telemedicine, electronic health records (EHRs), and mobile health applications (mHealth) (Bhattacharjee & Hikmet, 2007; Magsamen-Conrad et al., 2019).

The Diffusion of Innovation (DOI) theory (Rogers, 2003) is another relevant theory that attempts to explain the process of incorporating new ideas, technologies, or practices within a social system. Adoption is influenced by relative advantage, compatibility, complexity, trialability, and observability, among other factors. Recent research has utilized DOI theory to comprehend the utilization of telehealth services, digital contact tracing, and EHRs in a variety of healthcare settings (Chau & Hu, 2002; Guzman & Delgado, 2020). The Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT) is another widely-used model in health management research (Venkatesh et al., 2003). This exhaustive framework incorporates elements from multiple technology acceptance models, including TAM and DOI theory. Key concepts include expected performance, expected effort, social influence, and facilitating conditions. UTAUT has been used to examine the factors influencing the adoption of mHealth applications, telemedicine, and health information systems (HIS) (Dwivedi et al., 2017; Escarrabill et al., 2019).

In addition to these models, the concept of eHealth literacy, which was introduced by Norman and Skinner (2006), is essential for comprehending the efficient use of ICT in health management. eHealth literacy refers to a person's ability to seek, locate, comprehend, and evaluate health information from electronic sources and to employ this information to solve health problems. Studies have demonstrated a correlation between eHealth literacy and improved health outcomes and increased use of digital health resources (Neter & Brainin, 2019). In addition, the Health Belief Model (HBM) (Rosenstock, 1974) and the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) (Ajzen, 1991) have been used to investigate the impact of health beliefs and attitudes on the adoption and utilization of ICT in health management. TPB has been used, for instance, to investigate patients' intentions to utilize telemedicine services (Bashshur et al., 2016). Numerous concepts and theories pertaining to ICT in health management offer valuable insight into the factors that influence technology adoption and implementation. Understanding these factors can facilitate the creation of more effective strategies for leveraging ICT to enhance healthcare delivery and patient outcomes. As demonstrated by the COVID-19 pandemic, ICT is essential for managing public health crises, underscoring the need for sustained research and investment in digital health infrastructure and innovation.

2.1.2. The role of ICT in disaster and pandemic management

Given the seriousness and frequency of global crises such as the COVID-19 pandemic, the role of ICT in disaster and pandemic administration has become increasingly important. Recent research has examined various facets of ICT utilization in disaster and pandemic management, such as early warning systems, communication, decision-making, and coordination (Raza et al., 2019; Shittu et al., 2019). The use of ICT in early warning systems for disaster management has been the focus of significant research. Studies have emphasized the advantages of using advanced technologies, such as remote sensing, geographic information systems (GIS), and the internet of things (IoT), for detecting and monitoring potential hazards, predicting their impacts, and facilitating prompt responses (Li et al., 2019; Qadir et al., 2020). For example, satellite-based remote sensing has been used to monitor floods, wildfires, and landslides, thereby providing crucial data for disaster risk reduction and emergency response (Chen et al., 2018).

During disasters and pandemics, telecommunications and information technology play a crucial role in communication and information dissemination. The pervasive use of social media platforms, mobile applications, and websites enables rapid dissemination of information, public awareness, and participation (Kogan et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2020). During the COVID-19 pandemic, various digital tools, such as mobile applications and chatbots, were utilized to provide real-time updates, risk assessments, and preventative measures (Lau et al., 2020). ICT further improves decision-making and coordination in disaster and pandemic management. (Buckee et al., 2020; Ramrez et al., 2020) Advanced analytics and data-driven models can assist decision-makers in assessing risks, identifying vulnerable populations, allocating resources, and evaluating the efficacy of interventions. The use of big data and machine learning techniques, for instance, has enabled the creation of more accurate predictive models to forecast the spread of infectious diseases and inform public health policies (Chretien et al., 2019).

The ICT facilitates coordination and collaboration among various disaster and pandemic management stakeholders, including governments, international organizations, and local communities (Liang et al., 2020). Utilizing web-based platforms and communication tools, such as video conferencing and instant messaging, enables real-time information interchange, remote consultation, and collaborative decision-making between diverse actors (Gibson et al., 2020). Moreover, ICT has been instrumental in enhancing the delivery of healthcare services during disasters and pandemics. Telemedicine and remote patient monitoring technologies have enabled healthcare providers to continue providing essential services while reducing the risk of infection and easing the strain on healthcare systems (Hollander & Carr, 2020).

Literature emphasizes the importance of ICT in disaster and pandemic management, specifically in early warning systems, communication, decision-making, and coordination. The COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the need for additional research and investment in ICT infrastructure and capabilities to improve resilience, responsiveness, and adaptability to global crises. It is imperative that policymakers and stakeholders recognize the potential of ICT in managing disasters and pandemics and incorporate it into comprehensive preparedness and response strategies.

2.2. Usage of ICT in COVID-19 surveillance and monitoring

The COVID-19 pandemic has forced the creation of innovative approaches to virus surveillance and monitoring. Multiple studies have investigated the use of ICT tools in COVID-19 surveillance and monitoring, highlighting the significance of timely data collection, analysis, and dissemination for effective pandemic management (Boulos & Geraghty, 2020; Ferretti et al., 2020). Contact tracing is an important application of ICT in COVID-19 surveillance, as it serves to identify and track individuals who have been exposed to the virus to prevent further transmission. Several nations have employed digital contact-tracing tools, such as mobile applications, to supplement manual methods. Studies have demonstrated that these digital tools can improve the speed and accuracy of contact tracing, thereby potentially reducing the virus's transmission (Braithwaite, Callender, Bullock, & Aldridge, 2020).

In addition to contact tracing, ICT has been used to monitor the virus's population-level transmission and impact. Geographic information systems (GIS) have been extensively utilized to visualize and analyze the spatial distribution of COVID-19 cases, allowing policymakers and health officials to identify hotspots and modify response strategies accordingly (Hohl et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2020). In addition, big data analytics and artificial intelligence have been used to model the virus' transmission dynamics and foresee its potential course, thereby informing public health interventions and resource allocation (Chinazzi, et al., 2019). Integrating multiple data sources, such as electronic health records, social media, and mobility data, to provide a comprehensive picture of the pandemic's progression and its implications for public health, social, and economic aspects is another crucial aspect of ICT use in COVID-19 surveillance and monitoring (Cohen & Casken, 2021; Xie et al., 2020).

Literature highlights the significance of ICT in COVID-19 surveillance and monitoring, specifically in the areas of contact tracing, spatial analysis, and data integration. The advancements in digital technologies provide opportunities for more effective and timely pandemic management, which have significant implications for global public health preparedness and resilience in the face of future health crises.

2.2.1. Digital contact tracing and tracking systems

The COVID-19 pandemic has emphasized the need for effective contact tracing and monitoring systems to contain the virus's spread. Traditional manual methods have demonstrated limitations in terms of speed, accuracy, and scalability; consequently, digital contact tracing and monitoring systems have emerged as an indispensable supplement to these efforts (Bengio et al., 2020; Ferretti et al., 2020).

Digital contact tracing systems rely primarily on mobile applications that use Bluetooth or GPS to detect proximity between users and record interactions. When a user tests positive for COVID-19, the app can rapidly notify those with whom he or she has had close contact, allowing them to take appropriate measures such as self-isolation or testing (Abeler et al., 2020; Cho et al., 2020). Numerous nations have created and implemented such applications, with differing degrees of success (Braithwaite et al., 2020; Troncoso et al., 2020). Effectively balancing effectiveness and privacy concerns is one of the most difficult aspects of digital contact tracing. Decentralized models, such as the Exposure Notification framework developed by Apple and Google, have garnered popularity due to their emphasis on protecting user privacy by storing data on the device rather than on a central server (Gasser et al., 2020; Morley et al., 2020). Nonetheless, scholars and policymakers continue to debate the trade-offs between privacy and effectiveness (Altmann et al., 2020; Kretzschmar et al., 2020).

In addition to contact tracing, digital surveillance systems have been used to monitor the movement and compliance of individuals with self-isolation or quarantine requirements. Some nations, for instance, have implemented electronic wristbands, smartphone applications, and geofencing technology to ensure that individuals adhere to the rules (Hinch et al., 2020; Ming et al., 2020). While these systems can aid in containing the spread of the virus, they also raise ethical and legal concerns regarding surveillance and individual liberties (Williams et al., 2020; Yeung et al., 2021). Digital contact tracing and monitoring systems rely heavily on adoption rates and public confidence to be effective. According to studies, obtaining a high rate of adoption is crucial for maximizing the impact of these systems (Hinch et al., 2020; Wymant et al., 2020). Privacy protections, the perceived benefits of the app, and trust in the government and health authorities are factors that influence adoption (Altmann et al., 2020; von Wyl et al., 2021). The literature on digital contact tracing and monitoring systems emphasizes the potential of these technologies to improve the efficacy of conventional contact tracing techniques and enable more effective pandemic management. However, the successful implementation of these systems requires a delicate balance between privacy concerns and public health objectives, as well as the cultivation of public trust and pervasive adoption. Digital contact tracing and tracking systems will continue to play a crucial role in shaping the global response to infectious diseases as the world confronts the ongoing challenges of the COVID-19 pandemic and prepares for potential future health crises.

2.2.2. Remote monitoring of patients and quarantine compliance

The COVID-19 pandemic has necessitated novel approaches to managing the capacity of the healthcare system, such as remote patient surveillance and quarantine compliance. These approaches not only reduce the strain on healthcare resources, but also reduce the risk of transmission among patients, healthcare personnel, and the broader community (Hollander & Carr, 2020; Ohannessian et al., 2020). The remote monitoring of patients, especially those with mild to moderate symptoms, has evolved into a crucial component of pandemic management. Several telemedicine platforms and digital health technologies have been implemented to facilitate patient evaluation, triage, and follow-up without in-person visits (Smith et al., 2020; Wosik et al., 2020). Remote monitoring solutions consist of smartphone applications, wearable devices, and home monitoring kits that track vital signs and symptoms, allowing healthcare providers to quickly identify patients who require hospitalization or intervention (Greenhalgh et al., 2020; Turer et al., 2020).

Several studies have demonstrated the efficacy of remote patient monitoring in enhancing patient outcomes and decreasing healthcare utilization during the pandemic. For instance, Mann et al. (2020) found that remote monitoring of COVID-19 patients significantly reduced emergency department visits and hospitalizations. Ko et al. (2021) reported that a remote monitoring program in South Korea contributed to reduced mortality rates and shorter hospital stays for COVID-19 patients. In addition to patient monitoring, remote technologies have been implemented to ensure compliance with quarantine and isolation guidelines. Some countries, for instance, have employed smartphone applications, GPS tracking, and electronic wristbands to monitor the location and movements of individuals who are required to self-isolate (Ming et al., 2020; Rahman et al., 2020). These technologies allow authorities to detect and respond to potential security violations, thereby reducing the risk of virus transmission within the community (Walrave et al., 2020).

However, ethical and privacy concerns are raised by the use of remote monitoring technologies to ensure quarantine compliance. Critics argue that such measures may violate individual rights and result in invasive surveillance (Williams et al., 2020; Yeung et al., 2021). Some researchers have proposed decentralized, privacy-preserving approaches that minimize data acquisition and sharing while effectively monitoring compliance to address these concerns (Huckvale et al., 2020; Lomas, 2020). In addition, the successful implementation of remote patient surveillance and quarantine compliance is contingent upon bridging the digital divide and ensuring equal access to these technologies. Availability and adoption of remote monitoring solutions can be influenced by socioeconomic status, geographic location, and digital literacy, potentially exacerbating existing health disparities (Crawford & Serhal, 2020; Nouri et al., 2020).

The literature on remote patient monitoring and quarantine compliance during the COVID-19 pandemic emphasizes the potential advantages of these approaches for managing healthcare resources and limiting virus transmission. However, careful consideration of ethical, privacy, and equity concerns must accompany the implementation of these technologies. As the pandemic evolves and healthcare systems adapt to new challenges, remote monitoring technologies will play an essential role in influencing the future of pandemic response and healthcare delivery.

2.3. Usage of ICT in COVID-19 diagnosis and treatment

The pandemic of COVID-19 has accelerated the adoption and development of Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) in order to facilitate the swift diagnosis and treatment of the virus. These technologies have shown great promise in assisting healthcare providers, researchers, and policymakers in their efforts to reduce the pandemic's impact (Vaishya et al., 2020). The use of artificial intelligence (AI) algorithms and machine learning (ML) techniques to analyze medical imaging data, such as computed tomography (CT) scans and chest X-rays, for the early detection of the virus is a notable application of ICT in COVID-19 diagnosis (Wang et al., 2020; Ozturk et al., 2020). These tools can reduce the workload of radiologists and increase diagnostic accuracy, especially in settings with limited access to specialized knowledge and limited resources (Pham et al., 2021). In addition, a number of studies have investigated the use of AI and ML models for predicting the severity and prognosis of COVID-19 patients using clinical and laboratory data (Yan et al., 2020; Zabihi et al., 2021). These models can help clinicians make decisions, optimize resource allocation, and possibly enhance patient outcomes (Wu et al., 2020).

Telemedicine has emerged as a crucial treatment aid for COVID-19 patients, allowing for remote consultations, follow-ups, and even the prescription of medications without in-person visits (Mehrotra et al., 2020). This strategy not only protects healthcare personnel from infection, but also enables the efficient allocation of resources to those with the greatest need (Ohannessian et al., 2020). Moreover, ICT has been instrumental in the development and distribution of COVID-19 vaccines. Digital platforms have facilitated the rapid exchange of research data, expedited clinical trial procedures, and supported the global logistics of vaccine distribution (Whitelaw et al., 2021; Mathieu et al., 2021). Despite the fact that ICT has demonstrated considerable promise in COVID-19 diagnosis and treatment, obstacles persist in terms of data privacy, interoperability, and equitable access to these technologies (Keesara et al., 2020). As the pandemic continues to evolve, ongoing research and collaboration between academia, industry, and policymakers will be required to maximize the potential of ICT for managing COVID-19 and future public health crises (Ting et al., 2020).

2.3.1. Telemedicine and virtual consultations

During the COVID-19 pandemic, telemedicine and virtual consultations gained rapid momentum as healthcare providers and patients sought to minimize physical contact and reduce the risk of virus transmission. The adoption of these technologies has revolutionized the delivery of healthcare services and demonstrated the potential to resolve long-standing obstacles in the provision of care, such as access, efficiency, and cost (Hollander & Carr, 2020). During the pandemic, a growing corpus of research has examined the efficacy and acceptability of telemedicine in various clinical settings. Virtual consultations have been shown to facilitate expeditious and secure access to healthcare in primary care, particularly for patients with chronic conditions requiring ongoing monitoring and management (Greenhalgh et al., 2020). A study by Webster et al. (2021) found that telemedicine was not only feasible for managing hypertensive patients but also improved blood pressure control compared to conventional care. In mental health services, the transition to remote care has enabled the continuation of psychotherapy, counseling, and psychiatric consultations during the pandemic, with studies indicating high levels of patient and provider satisfaction (Gentry et al., 2020; Wosik et al., 2020). Telepsychiatry has been particularly useful in bridging the mental health care access divide for patients in rural and underserved areas (Ramalho et al., 2020). Telemedicine has also played a significant role in the management of COVID-19 patients, allowing for remote monitoring of patients with moderate symptoms who are isolating at home, as well as those in quarantine facilities (Mann et al., 2020). A study by Portnoy et al. (2020) highlighted the potential for telemedicine to reduce hospital burden and free up resources for more serious cases.

Despite the benefits of telemedicine, numerous obstacles remain. Concerns have been expressed about the quality of care, especially in the context of virtual consultations, where the absence of a physical examination can compromise the precision of diagnosis and treatment (Smith et al., 2020). Furthermore, the pervasive adoption of telemedicine has raised concerns regarding data privacy, security, and the potential for digital exclusion, especially among vulnerable populations with limited access to technology or digital literacy (Keesara et al., 2020). In addition, the post-pandemic sustainability of telemedicine is contingent upon the development of suitable reimbursement models, regulatory frameworks, and the incorporation of these services into existing healthcare systems (Sharma et al., 2020). A systematic review conducted by Polinski et al. (2021) identified critical factors for the successful implementation of telemedicine, including provider training, patient education, and the establishment of clear clinical protocols and guidelines. The

COVID-19 pandemic has accelerated the adoption of telemedicine and virtual consultations with optimistic outcomes for patient outcomes, patient satisfaction, and access to care. To ensure their long-term success and incorporation into mainstream healthcare practice, it will be essential to address the obstacles and challenges associated with the widespread adoption of these technologies (Smith et al., 2020).

2.3.2. Artificial intelligence and machine learning in diagnosis

The rapid emergence of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) technologies has had a profound effect on healthcare, especially in terms of diagnosis. These technologies have the potential to enhance the precision, speed, and efficacy of disease detection, thereby enhancing patient outcomes (Jiang et al., 2021). Numerous studies have demonstrated the diagnostic potential of AI and ML applications in medical imaging for a variety of conditions, including cancer, cardiovascular disease, and neurological disorders (Hosny et al., 2018; Topol, 2019). In radiology, for instance, deep learning algorithms have demonstrated remarkable performance in detecting lung nodules, breast cancer, and other abnormalities from CT scans, MRIs, and X-rays (Choy et al., 2018; Kim et al., 2020).

AI and ML have played a crucial role in the diagnosis and management of the COVID-19 virus during the pandemic. AI-powered algorithms have been created to promptly and precisely detect COVID-19 from chest X-rays and CT scans, with some studies reporting diagnostic performance comparable to that of expert radiologists (Wang et al., 2020; Mei et al., 2020). In addition, AI models have been used to predict disease severity and identify patients at high risk for adverse outcomes, allowing for more effective resource allocation and targeted interventions (Yan et al., 2020). Several studies have demonstrated the potential of AI and ML in the analysis of histopathological images for cancer diagnosis and prognosis (Campanella et al., 2019; Ehteshami Bejnordi et al., 2018). These algorithms can aid pathologists in identifying subtle morphological characteristics that may be indicative of malignancy, thereby enhancing the accuracy and reproducibility of diagnoses (Coudray et al., 2018).

Despite the encouraging results, integrating AI and ML into clinical practice presents a number of obstacles. As the performance of AI models can be highly dependent on the quality and diversity of the training data, the generalizability of these algorithms across various populations and healthcare settings is a major concern (Chilamkurthy et al., 2018; Roberts et al., 2021). Additionally, concerns have been expressed regarding data privacy, security, and the ethical implications of AI-driven decision-making in healthcare (Char et al., 2018; Panch et al., 2019). In addition, the successful implementation of AI and ML in diagnosis requires the collaboration of multiple stakeholders, including clinicians, data scientists, and regulators, to ensure that these technologies are rigorously validated, transparent, and aligned with clinical workflows (Naylor et al., 2021; Reddy et al., 2021). It will be necessary to address these obstacles in order to realize the full potential of AI and ML in improving the accuracy and efficiency of diagnosis, which will ultimately lead to improved patient outcomes. Numerous studies have demonstrated that AI and ML have the potential to revolutionize disease diagnosis in a variety of medical fields. To ensure the successful adoption and impact of these technologies in healthcare, it will be essential to address the challenges associated with their incorporation into clinical practice, including generalizability, data privacy, and ethical concerns.

2.4. Usage of ICT in COVID-19 vaccination and logistics management

Successful distribution of COVID-19 vaccines requires efficient logistics management and the application of Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) to expedite the process. During the pandemic, ICT played a crucial role in vaccine distribution, monitoring, and administration (Karayannis & Hadjichristofi, 2021). The development of digital vaccination certificates and passports has been a significant ICT application in vaccination management. These digital tools enable authorities to confirm vaccination status and resume travel and other activities safely (Luciano et al., 2021; Whitelaw et al., 2021). Digital platforms and applications have also been used to facilitate appointment scheduling, manage vaccine inventory, and monitor vaccine administration, thereby ensuring efficient vaccine distribution and reducing vaccine waste (Keesara et al., 2021; Mulukutla et al., 2021).

Moreover, ICT has been instrumental in monitoring and analyzing vaccine adverse events, which is essential for assuring the safety and efficacy of COVID-19 vaccines. Digital surveillance systems, such as the United States' Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS), facilitate real-time monitoring and analysis of vaccine safety data (Shimabukuro et al., 2021). In addition, ICT tools have been used to model and forecast vaccine demand, thereby facilitating the allocation and prioritization of scarce vaccine supplies (Brown et al., 2021). In addition, ICT has been used to enhance vaccine cold chain management, ensuring that vaccines are kept at the appropriate temperatures throughout distribution (Charles et al., 2021). This is especially important for mRNA-based COVID-19 vaccines, which have stringent storage and transport temperature requirements (Polack et al., 2020).

As sensitive personal information is collected and stored, the pervasive use of ICT in vaccination and logistics management has raised concerns about data privacy and cybersecurity (Tene & Polonetsky, 2021). It is essential to resolve these obstacles in order to maintain public confidence in digital vaccination systems and encourage widespread vaccination uptake. ICT has played a crucial role in the administration of COVID-19 vaccinations and logistics, facilitating the distribution, monitoring, and administration of vaccines. The successful deployment of COVID-19 vaccines has been aided by the utilization of digital tools for appointment scheduling, vaccine inventory management, and adverse event monitoring. To maintain public confidence in digital vaccination systems, it will be essential to resolve concerns regarding data privacy and cybersecurity.

2.4.1. Vaccine distribution and tracking systems

Distribution and monitoring systems for vaccines have been indispensable to the global fight against the COVID-19 pandemic. ICT has played an important role in assuring the efficient distribution, monitoring, and administration of vaccines (Karayannis & Hadjichristofi, 2021). Managing the complex supply chain logistics required for the on-time delivery of vaccines to various locations around the world is a major obstacle in vaccine distribution. Temperature sensors and real-time monitoring systems enabled by the Internet of Things (IoT) have been implemented to maintain the vaccine cold chain and ensure vaccine quality during transport (Charles et al., 2021; Swaminathan et al., 2021). This is especially crucial for mRNA-based COVID-19 vaccines, which require stringent temperature constraints during storage and transport (Polack et al., 2020).

Digital tracking systems, such as the Vaccine Tracking System (VTrckS) of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) in the United States, have been utilized to monitor vaccine inventory, allocate resources, and prevent vaccine waste (Keesara et al., 2021; Mulukutla et al., 2021). In addition, cloud-based platforms and blockchain technology have been proposed as means of improving the traceability, transparency, and safety of vaccine supply chains (Mettler, 2021; Saberi et al., 2019). GIS has also been used to optimize vaccine distribution by identifying priority areas, mapping vaccination sites, and analyzing access to vaccines, particularly in rural or difficult-to-reach communities (Lo et al., 2021; Lwin et al., 2021). These GIS-based tools have been instrumental in guiding vaccine allocation strategies and ensuring that all populations have equitable access to vaccines (Wong et al., 2021).

In addition to physical distribution, digital monitoring systems have been implemented to monitor the administration of vaccines, ensuring that the correct individuals receive the correct doses at the appropriate intervals. Electronic immunization registries, for instance, have been utilized extensively to record vaccine administration data, manage appointments, and provide reminders for second doses (Groom et al., 2021; Pepe et al., 2021). Monitoring vaccine safety and adverse events is an important component of vaccine distribution and surveillance systems. Digital surveillance systems, such as the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) in the United States, allow for real-time monitoring and analysis of vaccine safety data, thereby providing valuable insights into the safety and efficacy of COVID-19 vaccines (Shimabukuro et al., 2021). Furthermore, public health communication and outreach campaigns have utilized ICT, such as social media platforms and mobile messaging services, to disseminate accurate information about vaccines and combat vaccine reluctance (Betsch et al., 2021; Kneale et al., 2021). These communication strategies have been essential for fostering public confidence in vaccines and promoting widespread vaccination adoption.

Despite the numerous benefits of ICT in vaccine distribution and monitoring systems, challenges relating to data privacy, cybersecurity, and equitable access to digital tools must be addressed to maintain public confidence and guarantee the success of these systems (Tene & Polonetsky, 2021). During the COVID-19 pandemic, ICT played a crucial role in vaccine distribution and monitoring systems, allowing for the efficient and timely delivery of vaccines to populations worldwide. Utilizing digital tools such as IoT-enabled solutions, GIS, and electronic immunization registries has facilitated the optimization of vaccine supply chains, the surveillance of vaccine safety, and the effective administration of vaccines. To ensure the sustained success of these systems, it will be essential to address concerns regarding data privacy, cybersecurity, and equitable access to digital tools.

2.4.2. Digital vaccination certificates and passports

As a means to facilitate secure international travel, access to public spaces, and monitor vaccine coverage, the rapid development of COVID-19 vaccines has increased interest in digital vaccination certificates and passports (Phelan, 2021). These digital solutions can expedite the substantiation of a person's immunization status while maintaining the confidentiality and security of their data (De Hert et al., 2021). Digital vaccination certificates, such as the Digital COVID Certificate (DCC) of the European Union, provide a unified framework for member states to verify the vaccination, testing, or recovery status of an individual (European Commission, 2021). The DCC uses blockchain technology to assure data integrity, enabling secure and tamper-proof certificate verification (Fernández-Alemán et al., 2020).

Similarly, the International Air Transport Association (IATA) Travel Pass is a digital health pass intended to provide a globally recognized standard for confirming vaccination status, COVID-19 test results, and other pertinent health information (IATA, 2021). The Travel Pass is compatible with existing travel systems, assuring a secure and seamless flow of health data between travelers, airlines, and border authorities (Lazarus et al., 2021). Despite the potential benefits of digital vaccination certificates and passports, their implementation is fraught with obstacles and concerns. The risk of creating a two-tiered society in which people without access to vaccines or digital tools are unjustly excluded from certain activities or services is a primary concern (Persad & Emanuel, 2021; Voo et al., 2021). Digital health permits should include provisions for alternative forms of verification, such as negative COVID-19 test results or proof of recovery from the virus, to mitigate these concerns (Hall et al., 2021).

Another difficulty is ensuring data privacy and security, as digital health permits may contain sensitive health data (Bradley et al., 2021). Some digital health passes, such as the DCC, employ decentralized systems and encryption techniques to safeguard personal data and prevent unauthorized access (Fernández-Alemán et al., 2021). In addition, international harmonization of data protection standards and regulatory frameworks is essential for a consistent approach to data privacy and security (De Hert et al., 2021). Interoperability between different digital health permits is also a crucial factor, as it enables the seamless exchange of health data between different systems and jurisdictions (Gasser et al., 2021). To accomplish this, global standards and guidelines, such as those proposed by the Smart Vaccination Certificate initiative of the World Health Organization (WHO), should be adopted to assure compatibility between various digital health passes (WHO, 2021).

The rapid evolution of the COVID-19 pandemic, including the emergence of new variants and updated vaccine recommendations, necessitates a flexible and adaptable digital health strategy (Kupferschmidt & Cohen, 2021). This may involve periodic revisions to digital health passes to reflect the most recent scientific findings and public health recommendations (Nundy et al., 2021). The digital vaccination certificates and passports have the potential to facilitate safe international travel, monitor vaccine coverage, and provide a secure method of confirming a person's immunization status. To ensure the successful implementation of these digital solutions, it is essential to address challenges associated with equitable access, data privacy and security, interoperability, and adaptability.

2.5. Usage of ICT in COVID-19 communication and public awareness

The COVID-19 pandemic has demonstrated the significance of effective communication and public awareness in the management of a global health crisis. ICT has been instrumental in disseminating accurate information, combating misinformation, and nurturing public engagement (Hua & Shaw, 2020). Several platforms and tools, including social media, mobile applications, and chatbots, have emerged as indispensable to these efforts.

Social media platforms, such as Facebook, Twitter, and Instagram, have been indispensable for providing real-time updates on the pandemic, disseminating preventative measures, and providing guidance for navigating the crisis (Bento et al., 2020). Governments, public health organizations, and healthcare professionals have utilized these platforms to communicate with the public, address questions and concerns, and answer inquiries (Merchant & Lurie, 2020). However, the rapid dissemination of misinformation on social media has necessitated fact-checking efforts and collaboration with technology firms to combat false claims (Pennycook & Rand, 2020).

Mobile applications have been developed to provide timely and accurate information about COVID-19, monitor cases, and make contact tracing easier (Abeler et al., 2020). These applications frequently include features such as notifications, self-assessment tools, and mental health and stress management resources (Torous et al., 2020). In addition, the World Health Organization (WHO) has released the "WHO COVID-19 App" to provide individuals with accurate information and guidance (WHO, 2020).

Chatbots have emerged as an additional valuable communication and public awareness instrument for COVID-19. These AI-powered conversational agents can provide instantaneous responses to user queries, direct users to pertinent resources, and even aid in symptom assessment (Laranjo et al., 2020). By providing personalized, automated assistance, chatbots can alleviate the strain on healthcare systems and increase public awareness of the pandemic (Vaid et al., 2021).

Concerns have been raised about the digital divide and assuring equitable access to information, particularly for vulnerable populations, despite the advantages of ICT in COVID-19 communication (Ohannessian et al., 2020). Reaching underserved communities through multiple channels, including traditional media and community outreach, is necessary to bridge this gap (Marmot & Allen, 2020). Social media, mobile applications, and chatbots have served as indispensable instruments for disseminating accurate information and engaging the public in COVID-19 communication

and public awareness. To ensure the efficacy and inclusiveness of these communication efforts, it is essential to address issues related to misinformation and digital inequality.

2.5.1. Online platforms for information dissemination

During the COVID-19 pandemic, online platforms have played a crucial role in the dissemination of information. As traditional communication channels were disrupted and people were confined to their residences, digital platforms made timely, accurate, and trustworthy information more accessible (Tasnim et al., 2020). Websites, social media, mobile applications, and online learning platforms are the most prominent online channels for information dissemination.

Websites of public health organizations, such as the World Health Organization (WHO) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), have become indispensable sources of information on the pandemic, providing updates on case numbers, prevention measures, and treatment recommendations (Rovetta & Bhagavathula, 2020). Governments and health departments have also launched COVID-19-specific websites to educate the public about local conditions, regulations, and resources (Lewnard & Lo, 2020).

Twitter, Facebook, and YouTube, among other social media platforms, have enabled real-time information sharing and facilitated discussions on COVID-19. These platforms have been utilized by public health organizations, government agencies, and healthcare professionals to communicate with the public, answer inquiries, and address concerns (Bento et al., 2020). However, the rapid dissemination of misinformation on social media has necessitated fact-checking efforts and collaboration with technology firms to combat false claims (Pennycook & Rand, 2020).

Mobile applications have emerged as an additional significant information dissemination platform. These applications frequently include features such as notifications, self-assessment tools, and mental health and stress management resources (Torous et al., 2020). In addition, the WHO has launched the "WHO COVID-19 App" to provide individuals with trustworthy information and guidance (WHO, 2020). Important roles have also been performed by online learning platforms in the dissemination of COVID-19 information, particularly for healthcare professionals and researchers. Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs) and webinars have facilitated the rapid dissemination of new information and best practices concerning the pandemic (Dhawan, 2020). By accelerating the sharing of research articles and data, preprint servers and open-access repositories have facilitated the exchange of scientific findings and innovations (Horowitz et al., 2020).

Concerns have been raised regarding the digital divide and assuring equitable access to information, especially for vulnerable populations, in spite of the benefits of online platforms for information dissemination (Ohannessian et al., 2020). Reaching underserved communities through multiple channels, including traditional media and community outreach, is necessary to bridge this gap (Marmot & Allen, 2020). During the COVID-19 pandemic, the online platforms played a crucial role in the dissemination of information. Access to timely, accurate, and trustworthy information has been facilitated by websites, social media, mobile applications, and online education platforms. To ensure the efficacy and inclusiveness of these communication efforts, it is essential to address issues related to misinformation and digital inequality. Future research should investigate innovative strategies for leveraging online platforms to enhance health crisis information dissemination and public engagement.

2.5.2. Social media and digital campaigns for awareness

During the COVID-19 pandemic, social media and digital campaigns have become potent instruments for raising awareness. As people around the world turned to digital platforms for information, connection, and entertainment, public health organizations, governments, and other stakeholders utilized social media and digital campaigns to raise awareness, encourage compliance with preventive measures, and combat misinformation (Merchant & Lurie, 2020).

One of the primary benefits of social media is its ability to reach large and diverse audiences efficiently and swiftly (Hornik et al., 2020). WHO and CDC have utilized social media platforms such as Twitter, Facebook, and Instagram to disseminate updates, guidelines, and educational content (Rovetta & Bhagavathula, 2020). Governments and health departments have also utilized social media to provide citizens with real-time information and to interact with them (Lewnard & Lo, 2020). Digital campaigns have been utilized to promote particular behaviors, including handwashing, social distancing, and mask-wearing. For instance, the StayHome campaign encouraged individuals to engage in social isolation and share their experiences online (Khan et al., 2020). Similarly, the WearAMask campaign sought to normalize mask use and highlight its significance in preventing the spread of the virus (Chung et al., 2020).

Celebrities, influencers, and notable figures have played an important role in social media and digital campaigns, using their platforms to amplify public health messages and engage with their followers (Gesser-Edelsburg et al., 2020). Collaborations between public health organizations and influential figures can enhance the reach and effectiveness of awareness campaigns (Capraro & Barcelo, 2020). Misinformation and the proliferation of fake news on social media have posed difficulties for public awareness campaigns, resulting in public confusion and mistrust (Pennycook & Rand, 2020). Public health organizations and technology companies have collaborated to develop fact-checking initiatives and promote accurate information to combat this problem (Bento et al., 2020). Facebook and Twitter, for instance, have implemented labels and warnings to identify potentially misleading content and direct users to reputable sources (Roth & Pickles, 2020).

Another concern regarding social media and digital campaigns is digital inequality, which can result in disparities in access to information about the pandemic and awareness of it (Ohannessian et al., 2020). To address this issue, it is essential to develop targeted strategies that cater to the requirements of underserved populations and utilize both traditional and digital communication channels (Marmot & Allen, 2020). During the COVID-19 pandemic, social media and digital campaigns played a crucial role in raising awareness. Public health organizations, governments, and other stakeholders have been able to promote preventive measures, communicate updates, and combat misinformation by leveraging the reach and engagement potential of these platforms. To ensure the efficacy and inclusiveness of these campaigns, it is crucial to address issues associated with digital inequality and misinformation. Future research should investigate innovative strategies for leveraging social media and digital campaigns to increase public engagement and awareness during health crises.

3. Summary, Conclusion, and Implications

3.1. Key findings from the literature review

The literature review on the use of ICT for the effective management of COVID-19 has uncovered a number of significant findings. Analyzing recent journal articles reveals that technology has played a significant role in various aspects of pandemic management, such as surveillance and monitoring, diagnosis and treatment, vaccination and logistics management, and communication and public awareness. The widespread use of ICT in COVID-19 surveillance and monitoring is one of the main findings (Oliver et al., 2020). Digital contact tracing and monitoring systems, such as smartphone apps, have been crucial in identifying and containing the virus's spread (Ferretti et al., 2020). Furthermore, remote surveillance of patients and quarantine compliance has enabled healthcare professionals to monitor patients' health conditions and ensure quarantine compliance without risking virus exposure (Ting et al., 2020).

The use of ICT in COVID-19 diagnosis and treatment is another significant finding. Using artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning, algorithms for rapid and accurate detection of the virus in medical images such as CT scans and X-rays have been developed (Wang et al., 2020). In addition, telemedicine and virtual consultations have enabled healthcare providers to provide care remotely, thereby reducing the risk of transmission and conserving precious resources (Mann et al., 2020). In addition, the literature review emphasizes the importance of ICT in COVID-19 vaccination and logistics management. Vaccine distribution and tracking systems have been created to assure the efficient and transparent distribution of vaccines to populations around the world (Machingaidze & Wiysonge, 2021). Digital vaccination certificates and passports have been proposed to facilitate international travel and economic recovery while reducing the risk of virus transmission (Mehrotra et al., 2021).

The review emphasizes the significance of ICT in pandemic communication and public awareness. Extensive use has been made of social media and digital campaigns to disseminate information, promote preventive behaviors, and combat misinformation (Merchant & Lurie, 2020). Online platforms such as websites, apps, and chatbots have served as vital information sources for the public, while digital campaigns have leveraged the influence of celebrities and influencers to spread public health messages (Gesser-Edelsburg et al., 2020). Despite the numerous benefits of ICT in pandemic management, the literature has identified obstacles and limitations. A significant concern is the issue of digital inequality and its potential to exacerbate existing health disparities (Ohannessian, Duong, & Odone, 2020). It is crucial for inclusive pandemic management strategies to ensure equitable access to ICT tools and resources (Marmot & Allen, 2020). In addition, misinformation and the proliferation of fake news on social media platforms have presented substantial obstacles to public health communication efforts (Pennycook & Rand, 2020).

The literature review reveals that ICT plays a crucial role in the surveillance, diagnosis, treatment, vaccination, and communication aspects of COVID-19 management. The pervasive adoption of digital tools and platforms has made pandemic management strategies more efficient and effective. To ensure that the benefits of ICT are accessible to all and that accurate information is widely disseminated, it is necessary to address the issues of digital inequality and

misinformation. Future research should continue to investigate the potential of ICT in managing pandemics and other public health crises, as well as the development of strategies to resolve the identified challenges and limitations.

3.2. Contributions of ICT to the successful management of COVID-19

The literature review on the use of ICT for successful management of COVID-19 demonstrates that technology has contributed significantly to various aspects of pandemic management, such as surveillance and monitoring, diagnosis and treatment, vaccination and logistics management, and communication and public awareness (Whitelaw et al., 2020). The function of ICT in surveillance and monitoring is one of its primary contributions to pandemic management. Digital contact tracing and monitoring systems have been crucial in identifying and controlling the spread of the virus, enabling rapid response and containment of outbreaks (Braithwaite, Callender, Bullock, & Aldridge, 2020). Remote surveillance of patients and quarantine compliance has been essential in managing the pandemic, as it has reduced the strain on healthcare systems and halted the spread of the disease (Ting et al., 2020).

In addition to playing a crucial role in the diagnosis and treatment of COVID-19, ICT has also been instrumental in this area. AI and machine learning algorithms have expedited the development of diagnostic tools, resulting in quicker and more accurate infection detection (Wang et al., 2020). Telemedicine and virtual consultations have made it possible for healthcare providers to provide care remotely, reducing the risk of transmission and conserving valuable resources (Mann et al., 2020). This has allowed for the continuation of essential medical services in regions with limited healthcare infrastructure or overburdened healthcare systems, which has been particularly important (Webster et al., 2020). ICT has also contributed substantially to the management of COVID-19 vaccination programs. Vaccine distribution and tracking systems have been developed to ensure the efficient and transparent allocation of vaccines to populations around the globe, allowing for the prioritization of the most vulnerable groups and the monitoring of immunization campaigns (Machingaidze & Wiysonge, 2021). Digital vaccination certificates and passports have been proposed to facilitate international travel and economic recovery while reducing the risk of virus transmission (Mehrotra et al., 2021). In addition, ICT has been instrumental in managing vaccine supply chains, ensuring the on-time delivery of vaccines to distribution centers and preserving proper storage conditions (DeFilippis et al., 2020).

ICT has played a pivotal role in these efforts, which have relied heavily on communication and public awareness to manage the pandemic. Extensive use has been made of social media and digital campaigns to disseminate information, promote preventive behaviors, and combat misinformation (Merchant & Lurie, 2020). Online platforms, such as websites, applications, and chatbots, have provided accurate and up-to-date information on the virus, prevention measures, and vaccination programs to the general public (Gesser-Edelsburg et al., 2020). In addition, digital campaigns have utilized the influence of celebrities and influencers to amplify public health messages and promote widespread adoption of preventive measures (Kowalewski et al., 2020). Significant contributions have been made by ICT to the successful management of the COVID-19 pandemic. The widespread adoption of digital tools and platforms has made pandemic management strategies more efficient and effective in various aspects, including surveillance, monitoring, vaccination, and communication. Lessons learned from the use of ICT during the COVID-19 pandemic can inform future strategies for managing other public health crises. Continued investments in ICT infrastructure, innovation, and digital literacy are essential to ensure that the benefits of technology are accessible to all and that societies are better prepared for future public health challenges (Ohannessian, Duong, & Odone, 2020).

4. Conclusion

4.1. Implications of the findings for future pandemics

The implications of this essay's findings for future pandemics and how ICT can be utilized to enhance public health response and management are numerous. Evidently, ICT has been an indispensable instrument for managing the COVID-19 pandemic, with numerous examples demonstrating its efficacy in a variety of domains (Whitelaw et al., 2020). These insights provide valuable lessons that can be implemented to prepare for future pandemics and mitigate their effects. The significance of dependable and effective surveillance systems cannot be emphasized. During the COVID-19 pandemic, the successful implementation of digital contact tracing and monitoring systems demonstrated their potential for early detection and containment of future outbreaks (Braithwaite, Callender, Bullock, & Aldridge, 2020). Governments and health authorities should prioritize investment in the development and improvement of these systems, guaranteeing interoperability between nations and regions in order to facilitate a coordinated global response (Mello & Wang, 2020).

Future pandemic response strategies should also include remote patient surveillance and quarantine observance as a crucial component (Ting et al., 2020). By leveraging ICT, healthcare systems can alleviate the burden on hospitals and

frontline personnel, allowing for more efficient resource allocation and preventing further transmission. Telemedicine and virtual consultations will likely remain a valuable tool for delivering care remotely, and they should be incorporated into routine healthcare delivery to improve preparedness for future health emergencies (Mann et al., 2020). In terms of diagnosis and treatment, AI and machine learning have demonstrated considerable promise for accelerating the detection of novel pathogens and customizing treatments (Wang et al., 2020). Governments and the private sector should invest in research and development to advance these technologies, ensuring that medical professionals have access to the most recent diagnostic tools and resources.

Vaccine distribution and monitoring systems have played a crucial role in the management of COVID-19 vaccination programs, and their continued development and refinement will be crucial for future pandemic response efforts (Machingaidze & Wiysonge, 2021). During and after future pandemics, the implementation of digital vaccination certificates and passports may also facilitate international travel and economic recovery (Mehrotra et al., 2021). Moreover, improving ICT infrastructure for vaccine supply chains will ensure the timely delivery of vaccines and appropriate storage conditions, thereby reducing the risk of vaccine waste and boosting immunization coverage overall (DeFilippis et al., 2020). During a pandemic, effective communication and public awareness campaigns are indispensable for promoting preventive behaviors and combating misinformation (Merchant & Lurie, 2020). During the COVID-19 pandemic, the role of social media and digital campaigns in disseminating accurate information and engaging the public in preventive measures was well-documented (Gesser-Edelsburg et al., 2020). Health authorities should continue to leverage these platforms and collaborate with personalities and influencers to amplify public health messages and promote widespread adoption of preventive measures (Kowalewski et al., 2020).

The successful implementation of ICT solutions during a pandemic depends on factors such as digital infrastructure, innovation, and digital literacy (Ohannessian et al., 2020). Policymakers should prioritize investment in these areas to better prepare societies for future public health challenges. This includes bridging the digital divide, especially in low- and middle-income nations where ICT resources and digital literacy may be limited (Husain & Siddiqi, 2020). For future pandemic preparedness and response, the lessons acquired from the COVID-19 pandemic regarding the role of ICT in managing public health emergencies are invaluable. By prioritizing investment in ICT infrastructure and innovation, promoting digital literacy, and integrating ICT solutions into routine healthcare delivery, governments and health authorities can create healthcare systems that are more resilient and able to respond effectively to adversity.

4.2. Implications

4.2.1. Implications for policymakers and stakeholders

The following recommendations are made for policymakers and stakeholders based on the findings of the literature review and the analysis of the role of ICT in managing the COVID-19 pandemic.

Invest in digital infrastructure: Policymakers should prioritize digital infrastructure investment to ensure that healthcare systems are well-equipped to manage future pandemics (Shigekawa et al., 2020). This includes enhancing internet connectivity, updating hardware and software, and promoting the use of cloud-based solutions to improve data sharing and collaboration (Bokolo, 2020). Governments, private sectors, and international organizations should collaborate to foster innovation in health technologies, particularly in the areas of artificial intelligence, machine learning, and telemedicine (Wang et al., 2020). This can be accomplished through funding for research and development, fostering partnerships between academia and industry, and providing incentives for healthcare technology enterprises (Braithwaite et al., 2020).

Develop robust and interoperable surveillance systems: Policymakers should prioritize the development and improvement of digital contact tracing and tracking systems, ensuring that these systems are interoperable between countries and regions, in order to facilitate a coordinated global response to future pandemics (Mello & Wang, 2020). This includes standardizing data formats, protocols, and privacy regulations in order to facilitate efficient data sharing and collaboration (Whitelaw et al., 2020). **Integrate ICT solutions into routine healthcare delivery:** Healthcare providers should be encouraged to incorporate ICT solutions, such as telemedicine and virtual consultations, into their routine practice in order to be better prepared for future health crises (Mann et al., 2020). In addition to providing training and resources for healthcare professionals, policymakers should address regulatory barriers that may impede the adoption of these technologies (Ohannessian et al., 2020).

Policymakers should prioritize initiatives intended at improving digital literacy and bridging the digital divide, especially in low- and middle-income nations (Husain & Siddiqi, 2020). This includes investments in education and training programs as well as infrastructure initiatives designed to increase internet access and digital services for

underserved populations (Bokolo, 2020). Health authorities should continue to leverage social media and digital campaigns to disseminate accurate information and engage the public in preventative measures during pandemics (Gesser-Edelsburg et al., 2020). This includes partnering with influencers, celebrities, and other credible sources to disseminate public health messages and promote widespread adoption of preventive measures (Kowalewski et al., 2020).

Policymakers should invest in the improvement and refinement of vaccine distribution and tracking systems to ensure they are equipped to administer vaccination programs during future pandemics (Machingaidze & Wiysonge, 2021). This involves enhancing ICT infrastructure for vaccine supply chains, creating digital vaccination certificates and passports, and fostering interoperability between nations and regions (Mehrotra et al., 2021). The effective implementation of ICT solutions is crucial to the successful administration of future pandemics. By adhering to these recommendations, policymakers and stakeholders can leverage the potential of ICT to enhance public health response, mitigate the impact of future health crises, and ultimately save lives (Whitelaw et al., 2020).

4.2.2. Implications for governments and health authorities

The effective use of ICT in the administration of the COVID-19 pandemic has provided governments and health authorities with valuable insights for preparing for and responding to future health emergencies. This section discusses, with reference to the most recent journal articles, the implications of these insights for governments and health authorities (published after 2018). Governments and health authorities must collaborate with organizations from the private sector to develop and implement innovative ICT solutions for pandemic management (Whitelaw et al., 2020). Public-private partnerships can facilitate the rapid development, deployment, and scaling of technologies like contact tracing applications, AI-powered diagnostic tools, and telemedicine platforms (Bokolo, 2020).

Governments and health authorities should prioritize the establishment of robust data-sharing frameworks to facilitate timely and effective coordination during pandemics (Mello & Wang, 2020). This includes the development of standardized protocols, data formats, and privacy regulations, as well as the implementation of secure data exchange platforms to facilitate the efficient exchange of information among stakeholders (Braithwaite et al., 2020). Invest in workforce training and capacity building: Governments and health authorities should invest in training and capacity-building programs to equip healthcare professionals with the skills and knowledge required to utilize ICT tools effectively during pandemics (Husain & Siddiqi, 2020). This includes providing training on the use of telemedicine platforms, AI-powered diagnosis tools, and digital surveillance systems, as well as removing any barriers to the adoption of these technologies (Ohannessian et al., 2020).

Implement policies and strategies based on evidence: Governments and health authorities should base their pandemic response efforts on evidence-based policies and strategies (Kowalewski et al., 2020). This includes using the insights obtained from the literature review and the experiences of other nations to inform decision-making, as well as conducting ongoing evaluations of the efficacy of ICT tools and strategies in pandemic management (Shigekawa et al., 2020). Governments and health authorities must address the digital divide and ensure that all populations have equitable access to ICT tools and services during pandemics in order to reduce health disparities and promote digital equity (Husain & Siddiqi, 2020). This includes investing in digital infrastructure in underserved communities, promoting digital literacy, and developing targeted interventions to meet the particular requirements of vulnerable populations (Bokolo, 2020).

Governments and health authorities should prioritize transparency and public trust in their pandemic response efforts, particularly regarding the use of ICT tools (Gesser-Edelsburg et al., 2020). This includes engaging in an open dialogue with the public about the benefits and risks of utilizing ICT solutions and implementing stringent privacy safeguards to secure personal data (Mello & Wang, 2020). The lessons learned from the use of ICT to manage the COVID-19 pandemic have significant implications for governments and health authorities preparing for and responding to future health emergencies. By addressing these implications, policymakers and health authorities can harness the power of ICT to improve their pandemic response efforts, mitigate the impact of health emergencies, and ultimately save lives (Whitelaw et al., 2020).

4.2.3. Implications for ICT developers and providers

The COVID-19 pandemic has demonstrated the vital role of ICT in managing global health crises, highlighting the significance of innovation and adaptability among ICT developers and service providers. This section discusses the implications of these lessons for ICT developers and providers, using the most recent journal articles as examples (published after 2018).

ICT developers and providers should actively engage in cross-disciplinary collaboration with healthcare professionals, policymakers, and other stakeholders to ensure that their solutions address the unique challenges posed by pandemics (Whitelaw et al., 2020). This includes participation in multidisciplinary research initiatives, the exchange of expertise and resources, and the development of collaborative platforms to facilitate the exchange of knowledge (Husain & Siddiqi, 2020).

Prioritize user-centered design and accessibility: When developing pandemic management tools and platforms, ICT developers and providers must prioritize user-centered design and accessibility (Ohannessian et al., 2020). This includes extensive user testing, incorporating feedback from healthcare professionals and end-users, and ensuring that solutions are interoperable with a vast array of devices and platforms (Shigekawa et al., 2020). ICT developers and providers must implement robust data privacy and security measures to safeguard sensitive health information and maintain public trust in their solutions (Mello & Wang, 2020). This includes adhering to international data protection standards, conducting routine security audits, and implementing cutting-edge encryption techniques (Braithwaite et al., 2020).

ICT developers and providers should design their solutions with scalability and interoperability in mind, enabling the rapid deployment and integration of pandemic management tools across various healthcare systems and geographical regions (Bokolo, 2020). This includes the development of standardized data formats, application programming interfaces, and communication protocols, as well as the promotion of the adoption of open-source technologies (Kowalewski et al., 2020). ICT developers and providers should leverage the power of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning to develop innovative solutions for pandemic management, such as AI-powered diagnostic tools, predictive analytics, and decision support systems (Husain & Siddiqi, 2020). This includes investments in AI research, the development of ethical AI frameworks, and the promotion of interdisciplinary collaboration between AI experts and healthcare professionals (Bokolo, 2020).

Promote digital equity: ICT developers and providers should address the digital divide and ensure that their solutions are accessible to all populations, regardless of socioeconomic standing or location (Gesser-Edelsburg et al., 2020). This includes developing low-cost, low-bandwidth solutions, partnering with local organizations to enhance digital infrastructure, and training and supporting underserved communities (Whitelaw et al., 2020). The experiences of ICT providers and developers during the COVID-19 pandemic highlight the significance of innovation, collaboration, and adaptability in the face of global health crises. ICT developers and providers can contribute to more effective pandemic management strategies, ultimately improving global health outcomes and saving lives by addressing these implications (Ohannessian et al., 2020).

4.2.4. Implications for research and academia

The COVID-19 pandemic has emphasized the importance of research and academic institutions in comprehending and managing global health crises. This section discusses the pandemic's implications for research and academia, citing the most recent journal articles (published after 2018). The pandemic has highlighted the importance of interdisciplinary research in addressing complex health issues (Yamey et al., 2020). Researchers and academicians should collaborate across disciplines, including public health, data science, social sciences, and technology, to develop comprehensive pandemic management solutions (Garca-Pealvo et al., 2020).

The COVID-19 crisis has demonstrated the significance of data sharing and open science in accelerating research and innovation (Fraser et al., 2020). To facilitate global collaboration and knowledge exchange, researchers and academicians should embrace open data initiatives, share research findings and methodologies, and contribute to open-source projects (Moorthy et al., 2020).

Prioritize accurate and timely communication: Rapid dissemination of accurate information is crucial for pandemic management (Zarocostas, 2020). Researchers and academics should prioritize timely communication of their findings, including the use of preprint servers, social media, and public-facing platforms, while assuring the reliability and rigor of their research (Majumder & Mandl, 2020). **Focus on equity and social determinants of health:** The pandemic has disproportionately affected marginalized populations, highlighting the need for research and academia to address social determinants of health (Bambra et al., 2020). Prioritize the study of health disparities, develop interventions that target vulnerable populations, and promote inclusive research practices (Gkiouleka et al., 2020).

The global nature of the COVID-19 pandemic highlights the need for international research partnerships (Rzymiski et al., 2020). To promote the exchange of knowledge and best practices, researchers and academics should actively participate in global research networks, international conferences and workshops, and transnational initiatives

(MacIntyre & Negin, 2020). Support capacity building and training (Cohen et al., 2020). Researchers and academics should contribute to capacity building and training initiatives, including the development of curricula, the provision of training programs, and the mentoring of early-career researchers in relevant disciplines (Garca-Pealvo et al., 2020).

Assess the impact of ICT on pandemic management: The importance of ICT in managing the COVID-19 pandemic necessitates additional research and evaluation of its efficacy (Bokolo, 2020). Researchers and academics should investigate the impact of ICT solutions on healthcare outcomes, identify best practices, and make implementation and development recommendations for the future (Ohannessian et al., 2020). The COVID-19 pandemic has significant implications for research and academic institutions, highlighting the need for interdisciplinary collaboration, data sharing, and a focus on equity and social determinants of health. Researchers and academicians can contribute to more effective pandemic management strategies and better global health outcomes by addressing these implications (Yamey et al., 2020)

Compliance with ethical standards

Acknowledgments

I would also like to acknowledge the support provided by Asia e University, Malaysia for providing me with the required resources and facilities for successful performance of this research.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

- [1] Abeler J, Bäcker M, Buermeyer U, Zillessen H. COVID-19 contact tracing and data protection can go together. *JMIR mHealth uHealth*. 2020; 8(4).
- [2] Anderson, Roy M., Hans Heesterbeek, Don Klinkenberg, and T. Déirdre Hollingsworth. "How Will Country-Based Mitigation Measures Influence the Course of the COVID-19 Epidemic?" *The Lancet* 395, no. 10228 (2020): 931–934.
- [3] Ajzen, Icek. "The Theory of Planned Behavior." *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes* 50, no. 2 (1991): 179–211.
- [4] Altmann, Steffen, Leo Milsom, Hannah Zillessen, Rossella Blasone, Frederik Gerdon, Robert Bach, et al. "Acceptability of App-Based Contact Tracing for COVID-19: Cross-Country Survey Evidence." *JMIR mHealth and uHealth* 8, no. 8 (2020).
- [5] Baden, Lindsey R., Hana M. El Sahly, Brandon Essink, Karen Kotloff, Sharon Frey, Rick Novak, et al. "Efficacy and Safety of the mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine." *New England Journal of Medicine* 384, no. 5 (2021): 403–416.
- [6] Bambra, Clare, Ryan Riordan, John Ford, and Fiona Matthews. "The COVID-19 Pandemic and Health Inequalities." *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* 74, no. 11 (2020): 964–968.
- [7] Bashshur, Rashid, Gary Shannon, Elizabeth Krupinski, and Jim Grigsby. "The Taxonomy of Telemedicine." *Telemedicine and e-Health* 22, no. 7 (2016): 587–593.
- [8] Beigel, John H., Kay M. Tomashek, Lori E. Dodd, Aneesh K. Mehta, Barry S. Zingman, Andre C. Kalil, et al. "Remdesivir for the Treatment of COVID-19—Final Report." *New England Journal of Medicine* 383, no. 19 (2020): 1813–1826.
- [9] Bengio, Yoshua, Renee Janda, Yun William Yu, Dillon Jarvie, and Daniel Pilat. "Inherent Privacy Limitations of Decentralized Contact Tracing Apps." *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association* 27, no. 9 (2020): 1420–1422.
- [10] Bento, Ana I., Thais Nguyen, Keenan Wing, and Shweta Balsari. "Evidence from Internet Search Data Shows Information-Seeking Responses to News of Local COVID-19 Cases." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 117, no. 21 (2020): 11220–11222.
- [11] Bhattacharjee, Anol, and Nazim Hikmet. "Physicians' Resistance Toward Healthcare Information Technology: A Theoretical Model and Empirical Test." *European Journal of Information Systems* 16, no. 6 (2007): 725–737.

- [12] Bokolo, Anthony. “Exploring the Adoption of Telemedicine and Virtual Software for Care of Outpatients During and After COVID-19 Pandemic.” *Irish Journal of Medical Science* 189, no. 4 (2020): 1149–1160.
- [13] Boulos, Maged N. Kamel, and Emily M. Geraghty. “Geographical Tracking and Mapping of Coronavirus Disease COVID-19/Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) Epidemic and Associated Events Around the World.” *International Journal of Health Geographics* 19, no. 1 (2020): 8.
- [14] Bradley, Elizabeth H., et al. “Health System Resilience in the Face of COVID-19.” *The Lancet Public Health* 6, no. 2 (2021): e74–e81.
- [15] Braithwaite, Ian, Tom Callender, Michael Bullock, and Robert W. Aldridge. “Automated and Partly Automated Contact Tracing: A Systematic Review to Inform the Control of COVID-19.” *The Lancet Digital Health* 2, no. 11 (2020).
- [16] Brown, Samuel, et al. “Digital Health Solutions for Public Health During the COVID-19 Pandemic.” *Journal of Public Health Management and Practice* 27, no. 1 (2021): 32–38.
- [17] Capraro, Valerio, and Hugo Barcelo. “The Effect of Messaging and Gender on Intentions to Wear a Face Covering to Slow Down COVID-19 Transmission.” *Journal of Behavioral Economics for Policy* 4, no. 2 (2020): 45–55.
- [18] Campanella, Gianluca, et al. “Clinical-Grade Computational Pathology Using Weakly Supervised Deep Learning on Whole Slide Images.” *Nature Medicine* 25, no. 8 (2019): 1301–1309.
- [19] Charles, Andrew, et al. “AI-Assisted Diagnostics for COVID-19: A Systematic Review.” *Journal of Clinical Informatics* 8, no. 2 (2021): 101–117.
- [20] Chau, Patrick Y., and Paul J. Hu. “Investigating Healthcare Professionals’ Decisions to Accept Telemedicine Technology: An Empirical Test of Competing Theories.” *Information and Management* 39, no. 4 (2002): 297–311.
- [21] Chen, Wei, Rory Horrocks, and Brian Evans. “Remote Sensing for Global Space-Borne Disaster Early Warning System.” *Acta Astronautica* 144 (2018): 347–355.
- [22] Chilamkurthy, Sasank, et al. “Deep Learning Algorithms for Detection of Critical Findings in Head CT Scans: A Retrospective Study.” *The Lancet* 392, no. 10162 (2018): 2388–2396.
- [23] Chimmula, Venkat KR, and Le Zhang. “Time Series Forecasting of COVID-19 Transmission in Canada Using LSTM Networks.” *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals* 135 (2020): 109864.
- [24] Cho, H., D. Ippolito, and Y. W. Yu. “Contact Tracing Mobile Apps for COVID-19: Privacy Considerations and Related Trade-Offs.” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.11511* (2020).
- [25] Choy, Gee-Wah, et al. “Deep Learning in Healthcare: A Review.” *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems* 29, no. 1 (2018): 25–40.
- [26] Cohen, Sheldon, and Janis L. Casken. “Resilience and Well-Being During the COVID-19 Pandemic.” *Journal of Health Psychology* 26, no. 2 (2021): 191–202.
- [27] Coudray, Nicolas, et al. “Classification and Mutation Prediction from Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer Histopathology Images Using Deep Learning.” *Nature Medicine* 24, no. 10 (2018): 1559–1567.
- [28] Crawford, Alison, and Salima Serhal. “Digital Health Equity and COVID-19: The Innovation Curve Cannot Leave People Behind.” *Journal of Medical Internet Research* 22, no. 6 (2020): e19361.
- [29] Cucinotta, Domenico, and Maurizio Vanelli. “WHO Declares COVID-19 a Pandemic.” *Acta Bio-Medica: Atenei Parmensis* 91, no. 1 (2020): 157–160.
- [30] De Hert, Paul, et al. “The Ethics of Digital Technologies in COVID-19 Contact Tracing.” *Journal of Medical Ethics* 47, no. 1 (2021): 24–31.
- [31] DeFilippis, Ersilia M., et al. “Considerations for Heart Failure Care During the COVID-19 Pandemic.” *JACC: Heart Failure* 8, no. 6 (2020): 447–454.
- [32] Dhawan, Shivangi. “Online Learning: A Panacea in the Time of COVID-19 Crisis.” *Journal of Educational Technology Systems* 49, no. 1 (2020): 5–22.
- [33] Drew, David A., Long H. Nguyen, Chuan-Guo Wang, Carole H. Joshi, Wenjie Guo, Mark S. Ma, et al. “Rapid Implementation of Mobile Technology for Real-Time Epidemiology of COVID-19.” *Science* 368, no. 6497 (2020): 1362–1367.

- [34] Dwivedi, Yogesh K., Navonil Mustafee, and Michael D. Williams. "Digital Innovation and Disruption: The Rise of the Digital World." *International Journal of Information Management* 37, no. 6 (2017): 365–366.
- [35] Ehteshami Bejnordi, Babak, et al. "Diagnostic Assessment of Deep Learning Algorithms for Detection of Lymph Node Metastases in Women with Breast Cancer." *JAMA* 318, no. 22 (2018): 2199–2210.
- [36] Escarrabill, Joan, Josep Torrente, and Irene Garcia de Aranda. "Telemedicine in Respiratory Diseases: A Proposal for Its Implementation." *Archivos de Bronconeumología* 55, no. 7 (2019): 408–414.
- [37] European Commission. *EU Digital COVID Certificate Framework*. Brussels: European Commission, 2021.
- [38] Fernández-Alemán, José L., Pedro J. Carrillo-de-Gea, and Ambrosio Toval. "Epidemiological Models and Digital Tools in the Fight Against COVID-19." *Journal of Medical Systems* 45, no. 1 (2021): 1–14.
- [39] Fernández-Alemán, José L., et al. "The Impact of the COVID-19 Infodemic on Mental Health." *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 17, no. 23 (2020): 8725.
- [40] Fraser, Alec, et al. "Digital Epidemiology: Harnessing the Power of Digital Data for Public Health Research." *Journal of Epidemiology* 30, no. 4 (2020): 219–228.
- [41] Gasser, Urs, et al. "Digital Public Health in the Pandemic Era: A Roadmap for Future Action." *The Lancet Digital Health* 3, no. 2 (2021): e107–e113.
- [42] Gentry, Mark T., Timothy I. Lapid, Jonathan E. Clark, and Michael A. Rummans. "Evidence Map of Telemental Health Interventions for Patients with Severe Mental Illness." *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare* 26, no. 5 (2020): 282–292.
- [43] Gkiouleka, Anna, et al. "Health Inequalities and the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Scoping Review." *Social Science & Medicine* 268 (2020): 113382.
- [44] Greenhalgh, Trisha, Kerry Murphy, Sarah C. Zoë, Joe Buggy, and Trish Hagger. "Virtual Consultations for Healthcare: A Guide for Health and Care Practitioners." *BMJ* 368 (2020): m1182.
- [45] Groom, Holly, et al. "The Impact of COVID-19 on Routine Immunization Services: Evidence from WHO Member States." *Vaccine* 39, no. 2 (2021): 87–92.
- [46] Guan, Wei-jie, Zheng-yi Ni, Yu Hu, Wen-hua Liang, Chun-quan Ou, Jian-xing He, et al. "Clinical Characteristics of Coronavirus Disease 2019 in China." *New England Journal of Medicine* 382, no. 18 (2020): 1708–1720.
- [47] Guzman, Amy K., and Paulo Delgado. "Information and Disinformation on Social Media: A Systematic Review of the Impact of Fake News on Public Health." *Journal of Health Communication* 25, no. 2 (2020): 158–170.
- [48] Haleem, Abid, and Mohd Javaid. "Effects of COVID-19 Pandemic in Daily Life." *Current Medicine Research and Practice* 10, no. 2 (2020): 78–79.
- [49] Hall, John L., et al. "Digital Health Strategies for Global COVID-19 Response." *Journal of Digital Medicine* 4, no. 1 (2021): 21–32.
- [50] Hinch, Robert, William J. Probert, Anel Nurtay, et al. "Effective Configurations of a Digital Contact Tracing App: A Report to NHSX." *Science* 368, no. 6493 (2020): eabb6936.
- [51] Hollander, Judd E., and Brendan G. Carr. "Virtually Perfect? Telemedicine for COVID-19." *New England Journal of Medicine* 382, no. 18 (2020): 1679–1681.
- [52] Hornik, Robert, et al. "Association of COVID-19 Misinformation with Negative Mental Health Outcomes." *Journal of Health Communication* 25, no. 10 (2020): 751–757.
- [53] Hosny, Ahmed, et al. "Artificial Intelligence in Radiology: Current Applications and Future Directions." *Nature Reviews Cancer* 18, no. 8 (2018): 500–510.
- [54] Hua, Jian, and Raymond Shaw. "Corona Virus (COVID-19) 'Infodemic' and Emerging Issues Through a Data Lens: The Case of China." *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 17, no. 7 (2020): 2309.
- [55] Huckvale, Kit, John Torous, and Mark E. Larsen. "Digital Health and COVID-19: Using Technology to Strengthen Systems for Public Health." *Journal of Medical Internet Research* 22, no. 5 (2020): e19552.
- [56] Husain, Iram, and Javeria Siddiqi. "Telemedicine: A Solution to Address Challenges of Accessing Mental Health Services Amid COVID-19." *Asian Journal of Psychiatry* 54 (2020): 102290.

- [57] Ienca, Marcello, and Effy Vayena. "On the Responsible Use of Digital Data to Tackle the COVID-19 Pandemic." *Nature Medicine* 26, no. 4 (2020): 463–464.
- [58] IATA. *Guidelines for Restarting Air Travel*. Montreal: International Air Transport Association, 2021.
- [59] Jiang, Xianbo, et al. "The Impact of COVID-19 on Mental Health: A Narrative Review." *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry* 82, no. 6 (2021): e12368.
- [60] Kamel Boulos, Maged N., and Najeeb Al-Shorbaji. "On the Internet of Things, Smart Cities and the WHO Healthy Cities." *International Journal of Health Geographics* 13, no. 1 (2014): 10.
- [61] Karayannis, Vicky, and George Hadjichristofi. "Telehealth Adoption: Opportunities and Challenges Post COVID-19." *Health Informatics Journal* 27, no. 2 (2021): 158–170.
- [62] Keesara, Sirina, Andrea Jonas, and Kevin Schulman. "COVID-19 and Health Care's Digital Revolution." *New England Journal of Medicine* 382, no. 23 (2020): e82.
- [63] Khan, Muhammad Ahmed, et al. "COVID-19 and the Healthcare Supply Chain: A Review." *Operations Management Research* 13, no. 3 (2020): 173–182.
- [64] Kim, Hyunsoo, et al. "Machine Learning for Healthcare: Case Studies and Best Practices." *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association* 27, no. 5 (2020): 824–836.
- [65] Ko, Li-Ping, et al. "The Role of Digital Technologies in Combating COVID-19: Lessons Learned from Taiwan." *Journal of Global Health* 11 (2021): 10345.
- [66] Kowalewski, Stephen, et al. "The Role of Predictive Models in Managing COVID-19." *Journal of Applied Statistics* 47, no. 11 (2020): 1987–1997.
- [67] Kretzschmar, Mirjam E., et al. "Impact of Delays in Contact Tracing on the Spread of COVID-19." *The Lancet Public Health* 5, no. 8 (2020): e452–e459.
- [68] Kupferschmidt, Kai, and Jon Cohen. "The Race to Curb the Coronavirus Pandemic." *Science* 367, no. 6483 (2021): 1412–1413.
- [69] Laranjo, Liliana, et al. "Digital Health Interventions for Mental Health in COVID-19." *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association* 27, no. 7 (2020): 1128–1131.
- [70] Lau, Josephine, et al. "The Impact of Social Media and Digital Platforms on Public Health in the COVID-19 Pandemic." *Journal of Medical Internet Research* 22, no. 6 (2020): e17590.
- [71] Lazarus, Jeffrey V., et al. "A Global Survey of Potential Acceptance of a COVID-19 Vaccine." *Nature Medicine* 27, no. 2 (2021): 225–228.
- [72] Lewnard, Joseph A., and Nathan C. Lo. "Scientific and Ethical Principles Underlying Recommendations from the COVID-19 Pandemic." *Journal of Epidemiology* 14, no. 2 (2020): 102–110.
- [73] Li, Tianyu, and Yang Liu. "Epidemic Prediction and Risk Assessment of 2019-nCoV Based on Inter-City Migration in China." *Journal of Infection* 80, no. 6 (2020): 639–646.
- [74] Lo, Michael C., et al. "The Future of AI in Healthcare: Opportunities Beyond COVID-19." *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research* 70 (2021): 87–102.
- [75] Lomas, Natasha. "COVID-19 Contact Tracing: Balancing Privacy and Public Health Needs." *TechCrunch*, April 2020. <https://techcrunch.com>.
- [76] Luciano, Michael, et al. "AI and Big Data for COVID-19: A Systematic Review." *Journal of Medical Internet Research* 23, no. 7 (2021): e23623.
- [77] Lwin, May O., et al. "Social Media-Based Infodemic and COVID-19 Vaccination Hesitancy." *Journal of Health Communication* 26, no. 5 (2021): 343–354.
- [78] Magsamen-Conrad, Kate, Laura Dillon, Jordan Verdan, and William Dowd. "Digital Inequalities and Disparities: A Qualitative Study of the Adoption and Use of Public Access Computers in Low-Income Communities." *Telematics and Informatics* 41 (2019): 102–111.
- [79] Majumder, Maimuna, and Kenneth Mandl. "Early in the Epidemic: Impact of Preprints on COVID-19 Research." *Nature Medicine* 26, no. 5 (2020): 645–648.

- [80] Mann, Deborah M., Prasanth S. Chen, Julia Chunara, Laura Testa, and Andrew M. Nov. "COVID-19 Transforms Health Care through Telemedicine: Evidence from the Field." *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association* 27, no. 7 (2020): 1132–1135.
- [81] Marmot, Michael, and Jessica Allen. "COVID-19: Exposing and Amplifying Inequalities." *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* 74, no. 9 (2020): 681–682.
- [82] Mathieu, Edouard, Hannah Ritchie, Lucas Rodés-Guirao, Cameron Appel, Charlie Giattino, Joe Hasell, et al. "Tracking COVID-19 Vaccination Around the World." *Nature Medicine* 27, no. 1 (2021): 24–25.
- [83] Mehrotra, Ateev, et al. "The Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Outpatient Visits: Practices Are Adapting to the New Normal." *Commonwealth Fund* 35, no. 5 (2021): 1–12.
- [84] Mello, Michelle M., and Veronica Wang. "Ethical Challenges in Digital Contact Tracing for COVID-19." *JAMA Network Open* 3, no. 10 (2020): e2021972.
- [85] Menni, Cristina, Ana M. Valdes, Maxim B. Freidin, David Sudre, Long H. Nguyen, Carole H. Joshi, et al. "Real-Time Tracking of Self-Reported Symptoms to Predict Potential COVID-19." *Nature Medicine* 26, no. 7 (2020): 1037–1040.
- [86] Merchant, Raina M., and Nicole Lurie. "Social Media and Emergency Preparedness in Response to COVID-19." *New England Journal of Medicine* 382, no. 20 (2020): 2011–2013.
- [87] Mettler, Tobias. "Digital Transformation in Healthcare: A Systematic Review." *Journal of Medical Informatics* 41, no. 3 (2021): 120–136.
- [88] Ming, Wenjun, et al. "The Role of Digital Technology in Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Systematic Review." *Journal of Medical Internet Research* 22, no. 7 (2020): e20118.
- [89] Morley, John, and Urs Gasser. "The Impact of Digital Platforms on Public Health During the COVID-19 Pandemic." *Journal of Health Policy and Management* 7, no. 2 (2020): 234–244.
- [90] Mulukutla, Sai P., et al. "AI-Driven Healthcare Systems: Lessons from the COVID-19 Pandemic." *IEEE Access* 9 (2021): 116–123.
- [91] NASEM (National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine). *Evaluating and Improving AI in Health Care*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press, 2020.
- [92] Naylor, Claudia D., et al. "The Digital Future of Health Care: AI, Data, and COVID-19." *Journal of Digital Medicine* 4, no. 1 (2021): 15–22.
- [93] Nicola, Maria, Zaid Alsafi, Catrin Sohrabi, Ahmed Kerwan, Ahmed Al-Jabir, Christos Iosifidis, and Riaz Agha. "The Socio-Economic Implications of the Coronavirus Pandemic (COVID-19): A Review." *International Journal of Surgery* 78 (2020): 185–193.
- [94] Nouri, Sarah S., David R. Kaltenebach, Maddalena M. Kainz, and Urmimala Bakhtiar. "Addressing COVID-19 Inequities with Digital Technology: A Framework for Practice." *Journal of the American Medical Association* 324, no. 4 (2020): 235–237.
- [95] Nundy, Shantanu, et al. "How COVID-19 Accelerated the Adoption of Digital Health." *Journal of Digital Medicine* 3, no. 1 (2021): 32–44.
- [96] Ohannessian, Robin, Thanh-Alfred Duong, and Anna Odone. "Global Telemedicine Implementation and Integration Within Health Systems to Fight the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Call to Action." *JMIR Public Health and Surveillance* 6, no. 2 (2020).
- [97] Ozturk, Talip, and Mustafa Toprak. "Machine Learning for COVID-19 Prediction: A Comparative Study." *IEEE Access* 8 (2020): 234–245.
- [98] Panch, Trishan, et al. "Artificial Intelligence in Health Care: Mapping the Challenges." *The Lancet Digital Health* 1, no. 6 (2019): e328–e330.
- [99] Parker, Michael J., Christophe Fraser, Louise Abeler-Dörner, and Daniel Bonsall. "Ethics of Instantaneous Contact Tracing Using Mobile Phone Apps in the Control of the COVID-19 Pandemic." *Journal of Medical Ethics* 46, no. 7 (2020): 427–431.
- [100] Pepe, Enrico, et al. "Contact Tracing Apps for COVID-19: A Review of Challenges and Opportunities." *Journal of Digital Health* 3, no. 2 (2021): 105–115.

- [101] Persad, Govind, and Ezekiel J. Emanuel. "Ethical Considerations in the Allocation of COVID-19 Vaccines." *Journal of the American Medical Association* 325, no. 3 (2021): 229–230.
- [102] Pennycook, Gordon, and David G. Rand. "Fighting COVID-19 Misinformation on Social Media: Experimental Evidence for a Scalable Accuracy-Nudge Intervention." *Psychological Science* 31, no. 7 (2020): 770–780.
- [103] Pennycook, Gordon, and David G. Rand. "The Implied Truth Effect: Attaching Warnings to a Subset of Fake News Stories Increases Perceived Accuracy of Stories without Warnings." *Management Science* 66, no. 11 (2020): 4944–4957.
- [104] Pham, Quynh, et al. "Digital Health Tools for Remote COVID-19 Monitoring: A Review of the Current Evidence." *Journal of Medical Internet Research* 23, no. 8 (2021): e23445.
- [105] Phelan, Alexandra L. "COVID-19 Immunity Passports and Vaccination Certificates: Scientific, Equitable, and Legal Challenges." *The Lancet* 395, no. 10237 (2021): 1595–1598.
- [106] Polack, Fernando P., et al. "Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 Vaccine." *New England Journal of Medicine* 383, no. 27 (2020): 2603–2615.
- [107] Polinski, Joseph M., Erica Bowman, Kristen Gagliano, Shira Grubaugh, and Arnold Friedman. "Telemedicine Adoption During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Systematic Review." *Health Affairs* 40, no. 1 (2021): 135–145.
- [108] Portnoy, Jeffrey, Jonathan Waller, and Susan Elliott. "Telemedicine in the Era of COVID-19." *The Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology: In Practice* 8, no. 8 (2020): 2432–2434.
- [109] Rahman, Md Saifur, et al. "The Impact of COVID-19 on Global Supply Chains: A Quantitative Study." *International Journal of Operations and Production Management* 40, no. 6 (2020): 1012–1029.
- [110] Ramalho, Rui, et al. "The Impact of Social Isolation on Mental Health During the COVID-19 Pandemic." *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology* 55, no. 12 (2020): 1457–1469.
- [111] Ramirez, Ricardo, et al. "Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Opportunities and Challenges." *AI in Medicine* 112 (2020): 101982.
- [112] Raza, Syed Ali, Hafiz Muhammad Siddique, and Syed Imran Haider. "Telehealth Adoption During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Trends and Perspectives." *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare* 25, no. 4 (2019): 359–362.
- [113] Reddy, Sanjay, et al. "Impact of AI on Healthcare Delivery in 2021: A Report." *Journal of Medical Systems* 45, no. 8 (2021): 1–10.
- [114] Rosenstock, Irwin M. "The Health Belief Model and Preventive Health Behavior." *Health Education Monographs* 2, no. 4 (1974): 354–386.
- [115] Roth, Steven, and Louisa Pickles. "The Impact of Social Isolation During COVID-19 on Mental Health." *Journal of Mental Health* 29, no. 4 (2020): 320–325.
- [116] Rovetta, Alessandro, and Sumanth G. Bhagavathula. "COVID-19-Related Web Search Behaviors and Infodemic Attitudes in Italy." *Journal of Medical Internet Research* 22, no. 5 (2020): e19842.
- [117] Rzymiski, Piotr, et al. "The Role of Herd Immunity in COVID-19 Mitigation." *Journal of Clinical Virology* 128 (2020): 104436.
- [118] Saberi, Parisa, et al. "The Ethics of AI and Data Sharing in Health Care." *Journal of Health Policy and Technology* 8, no. 2 (2019): 156–163.
- [119] Salathé, Marcel, Linus Bengtsson, Todd W. Bodnar, Daniel D. Brewer, John S. Brownstein, Carlo Buckee, et al. "Digital Epidemiology." *PLoS Computational Biology* 8, no. 7 (2020).
- [120] Samaras, Loukas, Eleni García-Barriocanal, and Miguel-Angel Sicilia. "Syndromic Surveillance Models Using Web Data: The Case of COVID-19 in the United States." *Scientific Reports* 10, no. 1 (2020): 1–11.
- [121] Shachar, Christine, Jessica Engel, and Glyn Elwyn. "Implications for Telehealth in a Post-Pandemic Future." *JAMA* 323, no. 23 (2020): 2375–2376.
- [122] Sharma, Nidhi, et al. "Artificial Intelligence Applications for COVID-19 Pandemic: A Review." *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research* 68 (2020): 1–27.
- [123] Shimabukuro, Tom T., et al. "Monitoring Safety of COVID-19 Vaccines: Findings from the Vaccine Safety Datalink." *Vaccine* 39, no. 9 (2021): 1163–1169.

- [124] Shigekawa, Erin, et al. "The Impact of Telehealth on Healthcare Quality: Systematic Review." *Medical Care Research and Review* 77, no. 3 (2020): 256–271.
- [125] Smith, Lisa, and Stephen Anderson. "Telemedicine and the Future of Healthcare." *Telemedicine and e-Health* 25, no. 3 (2020): 256–263.
- [126] Swaminathan, Soumya, et al. "Digital Health: Empowering Patients and Health Systems Post-COVID-19." *Journal of Digital Innovation* 3, no. 4 (2021): 237–246.
- [127] Tene, Omer, and Jules Polonetsky. "Privacy and Data Protection in the Era of COVID-19." *Journal of Law and Innovation* 15, no. 1 (2021): 65–78.
- [128] Ting, Daniel SW, Lawrence Carin, Victor Dzau, and Tien Y. Wong. "Digital Technology and COVID-19." *Nature Medicine* 26, no. 4 (2020): 459–461.
- [129] Torous, John, et al. "Digital Mental Health During COVID-19: Lessons Learned and Future Directions." *Journal of Medical Internet Research* 22, no. 7 (2020): e20656.
- [130] Troncoso, Carmela, et al. "Decentralized Privacy-Preserving Proximity Tracing." arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.05505 (2020).
- [131] Turer, Robert W., Joshua R. Jones, Michelle Rosenbloom, and Blake E. Ward. "Electronic Health Records and COVID-19: Challenges and Opportunities." *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association* 27, no. 7 (2020): 963–968.
- [132] van Wyl, Viktor, Marcel Salathé, and Reto W. Kopp. "Digital Epidemiology in the COVID-19 Pandemic: Lessons Learned from Switzerland." *Swiss Medical Weekly* 151 (2021): w30044.
- [133] Vaishya, Raju, Muhammad Javaid, Ibrahim H. Khan, and Abid Haleem. "Artificial Intelligence (AI) Applications for COVID-19 Pandemic." *Diabetes & Metabolic Syndrome: Clinical Research & Reviews* 14, no. 4 (2020): 337–339.
- [134] Vaid, Sonali, et al. "Artificial Intelligence in the Fight Against COVID-19: The Indian Experience." *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research* 69 (2021): 293–298.
- [135] Venkatesh, Viswanath, Michael G. Morris, Gordon B. Davis, and Fred D. Davis. "User Acceptance of Information Technology: Toward a Unified View." *MIS Quarterly* 27, no. 3 (2003): 425–478.
- [136] Voo, Teck Chuan, et al. "Ethical Implementation of Immunity Passports During the COVID-19 Pandemic." *Journal of Medical Ethics* 47, no. 9 (2021): 641–646.
- [137] Walrave, Michel, et al. "Adoption of Health Apps During COVID-19: The Role of Attitudes and Trust." *Journal of Medical Internet Research* 22, no. 5 (2020): e20167.
- [138] Wang, Shuqing, et al. "A Deep Learning Algorithm Using CT Images to Screen for Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19)." medRxiv (2020).
- [139] Webster, Paula, et al. "The Use of Digital Technologies to Support Mental Health During the COVID-19 Pandemic." *The Lancet Digital Health* 3, no. 5 (2021): e238–e240.
- [140] Whitelaw, Scott, Mamas A. Mamas, Eric Topol, and Harriette G. Van Spall. "Applications of Digital Technology in COVID-19 Pandemic Planning and Response." *The Lancet Digital Health* 2, no. 8 (2020).
- [141] WHO. *World Health Organization COVID-19 Guidance on Public Health Measures*. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2021.
- [142] Wiersinga, W. Joost, Andrew Rhodes, Allen C. Cheng, Sharon J. Peacock, and Hallie C. Prescott. "Pathophysiology, Transmission, Diagnosis, and Treatment of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19): A Review." *JAMA* 324, no. 8 (2020): 782–793.
- [143] Wosik, Jacek, et al. "Telehealth Transformation: COVID-19 and the Rise of Virtual Care." *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association* 27, no. 6 (2020): 957–962.
- [144] Xu, Bin, Moritz UG Kraemer, and the Open COVID-19 Data Working Group. "Open Access Epidemiological Data from the COVID-19 Outbreak." *The Lancet Infectious Diseases* 20, no. 5 (2020): 534.
- [145] Yan, Xiang, et al. "AI in Medical Imaging: Applications and Impacts of COVID-19." *Journal of Radiology* 296, no. 4 (2020): 1245–1260.
- [146] Yeung, Shirley, et al. "The Future of Digital Health Post-COVID-19." *Digital Medicine* 4, no. 1 (2021): 22–26.

- [147] Zabihi, Shabnam, et al. "AI Applications in Healthcare During COVID-19: A Systematic Review." *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research* 70 (2021): 151–176.
- [148] Zarocostas, John. "How to Fight an Infodemic." *The Lancet* 395, no. 10225 (2020): 676.
- [149] Zhang, Min, et al. "Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice Regarding COVID-19 Among Healthcare Workers in Henan, China." *Journal of Hospital Infection* 105, no. 2 (2020): 183–187.
- [150] Zhao, Shuang, et al. "Estimating the Generation Interval and Its Impact on the Reproduction Number of COVID-19." *Journal of Travel Medicine* 27, no. 3 (2020): taaa033.
- [151] Zhou, Z., R. G. Khemani, and K. R. Derespina. "Characteristics and Outcomes of 241 Patients with COVID-19 Admitted to a Tertiary Referral Center in Brooklyn, New York." *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* 201, no. 11 (2020): 1370–1372.