



(RESEARCH ARTICLE)



Energy expenditure of golf range practice: A beta investigation

Neil Ernest Wolkodoff ^{1,*}, Matthew Paul Moran ², Ben Nathaniel Pennymon ³, Joyce Ann Curry ⁴ and Gerald Martin Haase ⁵

¹ Medical Program Director, Colorado Center for Health and Sport Science, Denver, Colorado.

² Golf Supervisor, Broken Tee Golf Complex, Englewood, Colorado.

³ Director of Golf, Common Ground Golf Course, Aurora, Colorado.

⁴ Professor, School of Science and Engineering, Colorado Christian University, Lakewood, Colorado.

⁵ Clinical Professor of Surgery, University of Colorado School of Medicine, Aurora, Colorado.

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2024, 24(02), 2007–2014

Publication history: Received on 07 October 2024; revised on 14 November 2024; accepted on 17 November 2024

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.24.2.3505>

Abstract

How does Energy Expenditure (EE) in golf practice compare to golf play? Golf has demonstrated a moderate level of EE during play, especially when walking, which can contribute to health. Golf practice on the driving range has never been assessed. Can driving range practice qualify as meaningful exercise?

Ten subjects regularly participating in golf performed two short-range/practice sessions while connected to a portable metabolic system to determine potential benefits. Data points were recorded and averaged for O₂/L/min and converted to kcal per hour, oxygen consumption in VO₂ ml/kg/min, and heart rate (HR).

Subjects performed their regular physical warm-up routine and hit range balls using their standard progression of clubs and pace. Sessions ranged from 10-19 minutes, followed by a short break, with another session of the same time and progression. Using oxygen consumed to measure energy expenditure, the group averaged 3.5 kcal per minute for the first session/3.71 kcal per minute for the two sessions. MET values were 2.31/2.59, respectively, below the required 3.0 met level for moderate activity. Statistical analysis revealed a significant relationship between subject weight and EE of $p=0.0001$. From this beta study, golf practice can contribute to overall health as part of a weekly exercise and recreation schedule. Further rigorous study is needed to explore this form of activity's quantity further.

Keywords: Golf; Energy Expenditure; VO₂ Max; Kilocalories; Golf Practice; Driving Range

1. Introduction

Golf has surged over the last ten years as a recreational sport activity. Its growth spurted upward as it allowed participants to spend time outside in a recreational environment with a robust set of perceived health and social benefits [1, 2]. One of the fastest-growing dimensions of golf is the use of driving ranges and simulators. Many golf participants feel they need extensive time for 9 or 18 holes, and a range session of 30-60 minutes gets them outside and golfing.

Limited studies have concluded that golf play, especially when walking, has health benefits. Prior investigations have used metrics such as steps, distance, heart rate, movement patterns, and, in rare cases, actual energy expenditure via expired gases to view health or fitness benefits [3-7]. Golf is a complex activity with many dimensions of play, and investigations have strongly indicated that golf contributes to health as a moderate-exertion physical activity [4-9]. It is better than just walking, mainly due to the increased metabolic demands of the terrain, total energy expended, and the golf swing itself using a large amount of the body's musculature in both stability and swing movement. While golf

* Corresponding author: Neil Ernest Wolkodoff

participation is significant in terms of health benefits, the results are determined by skill, course difficulty, and mode of locomotion/transport [6-13], yet does lag in total compared to other sports and sports practice.

However, no investigation to date exists for golf practice, whether outdoors on a traditional range or indoors with a simulator. The first goal of this beta study was to define and gain a physiological understanding of golf practice as a potential health-building activity. A few investigations have investigated tennis and soccer in practice versus game requirements, while some studies have focused on the EE of referees [14-18].

A related and interesting question that has yet to be researched or reported in the literature is whether golfers are accurate in understanding both the speed of practice/number of shots and the actual progression used in each session. One would venture that regular golfers would have a strong sense of these practice metrics and tendencies.

2. Material and methods

Subjects were recruited from regular golfers in the Denver, Colorado area. The requirements were regular play at least once per week, regular practice in some form once per week, and maintaining a golf handicap. Practice was defined as a separate session not connected to golf play or a session right before a round where the golfer would hit at least 15 balls before play.

Applicants completed health history questionnaires and participatory consent and waiver, which the Colorado Center for Health & Sports Science (CCHSS) IRB reviewed. Applicants received a summary of study specifics, the flow of the study session, and a description of the measurement equipment. Any subjects with medical issues that would interfere with testing were removed from the consideration pool. Upon final review, ten (n=10) subjects, seven males (n=7) and three females (n=3), were prospectively selected. Age range was from 43 to 73 years old, median age was 56 years of age.

To standardize test conditions, the subjects received a set of trial guidelines. This included setting a test time like their regular practice or play time. The subjects were instructed to set testing times/dates after a day or more of rest or cessation from golf play to ensure they entered the trial in a rested state with optimum awareness of mental focus.

On-range testing used a VO₂ Master metabolic analyzer (VO₂ Master, Vernon, Canada) and a standard Hans-Rudolph mask. This system is depicted in Figure 1.



Figure 1 Metabolic measurement system used in the investigation

A Wahoo heart rate monitor/strap was integrated into the unit. The measurement device was used because of the very minimal weight, and the field of vision was reasonable, given the size of the mask/analyzer on the subject's face. Use of the metabolic system with minimal visual disruption is depicted in Figure 2.



Figure 2 Use of the metabolic system in golf swing practice

Testing previously to EE on the actual golf course revealed that the VO₂ Master had the same O₂ and primary respiratory data, yet with less encumbrance and interference with the golf swing than the OxyconMobile [19-21]. An additional factor included the focus on just O₂ in the study for EE, and not CO₂, as the subjects were extremely unlikely to reach the threshold/anaerobic threshold, so measuring this point via gas exchange was unnecessary. Masks and subsequent head straps were fitted to the subject using a Hans-Rudolph sizing tool. Figure 3 shows the metabolic system during range practice.



Figure 3 Another subject in range practice

Subjects could practice in the metabolic sensing unit with minor impairment and distraction. The unit was powered up for their trial and underwent its standard calibration sequence. The subjects wore the unit for their physical warm-up before hitting a golf ball, and the unit was powered up again for the first trial. Example of another subject in metabolic measurement, Figure 4.



Figure 4 Metabolic measurement example on the driving range

The temperature varied between 72- and 83 degrees Fahrenheit during the actual trial. If the temperature was above that 85-degree Fahrenheit mark, the trial was moved to another day/time where the temperature would not result in undue mask discomfort and distraction. If the humidity was an issue during a trial, as determined by the subject, the sensing system was paused briefly, and the mask interior was wiped dry and then re-started. After completion, data was averaged for actual VO₂ in ml/kg/min and O₂ in liters. The physiological results included an O₂ measure per minute converted to kcal per hour (using the accepted five kcal per liter of O₂ consumed), VO₂ per minute, and average heart rate.

3. Results

As the study focused on EE during golf practice, the actual O₂ consumed was converted to kcal using the accepted 5 kcal per liter of O₂. The data is presented in Table 1. The first trial had subjects expending a mean of 3.5 kcal per minute, with the second trial expenditure of 3.74 kcal per minute, the mean value. The O₂ per kg of body weight per minute in kilograms was then converted to met levels. The first trial mean was 2.31 METs, while the second was 2.59 METs. HR did not significantly correlate with either kcal per minute or METs.

However, the subjects expended energy with a strong relationship to weight with simple t-testing revealing a $p = 0.0001$ for both trials.

Table 1 Overall results

| Subject | Weight/lb | VO2L-1 | VO2L-2 | Mean | VO2ML-1 | VO2ML-2 | HR1 | HR2 | Mean |
|----------------------|-----------|------------|-------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------|------|
| 1 | 156 | 0.880 | 0.876 | 0.878 | 13.1 | 12.36 | 123 | 125 | 124 |
| 2 | 160 | 0.484 | 0.560 | 0.522 | 5.5 | 7.2 | 104 | 116 | 110 |
| 3 | 200 | 0.441 | 0.429 | 0.435 | 4.84 | 4.74 | 98 | 102 | 100 |
| 4 | 150 | 0.431 | 0.536 | 0.4835 | 6.3 | 9.45 | 98 | 99 | 98.5 |
| 5 | 200 | 0.904 | 0.941 | 0.9225 | 8.7 | 10.5 | 83 | 98 | 90.5 |
| 6 | 190 | 0.357 | 0.605 | 0.481 | 4.41 | 7.47 | 103 | 95 | 99 |
| 7 | 220 | 1.159 | 1.123 | 1.141 | 11.5 | 11.29 | 89 | 88 | 88.5 |
| 8 | 240 | 0.792 | 0.795 | 0.7935 | 7.26 | 7.23 | 82 | 84 | 83 |
| 9 | 220 | 1.092 | 1.05 | 1.071 | 11.37 | 10.9 | 120 | 118 | 119 |
| 10 | 116 | 0.447 | 0.536 | 0.4915 | 7.87 | 9.45 | 97 | 99 | 98 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Mean | 185.2 | 0.699 | 0.745 | | 8.09 | 9.059 | 100.0 | 102.0 | 101 |
| Significance | | P=0.0001 | | P=0.0001 | | | | | |
| EE Conversion | | 3.5 kcal/m | 3.74 kcal/m | | 2.31 mets | 2.59 mets | | | |

4. Discussion

The primary conclusion from this study is that golf practice occurs just below the threshold of moderate exercise. Thus, it is likely to contribute to an EE and activity total for a week and can be an addition to daily/weekly energy expenditure, resulting in better health. However, it does not replace formal exercise, such as an aerobic activity at the prescribed 3-6 MET level.

There was a strong relationship between golfer weight and EE. This reinforces the concept that the golf swing is a whole-body activity, and the higher the weight, the more muscle mass is used. Thus, EE is in direct proportion to the weight shift, control, and movement through the swing.

Another concept that interfaces with golf and golf practice is what individuals over 60 require in terms of EE for health and fitness [22-27] is reduced compared to younger individuals. Recently, it has been proposed that instead of the standard 3.5 ml/kg/min value for MET, it is more appropriate for older individuals to calculate METs based on a 2.7 ml/kg/min level. Less functional capacity at max/VO₂ and a decreased threshold/anaerobic threshold means it takes

less training load to elicit a fitness or health benefit. Golf, in general, is an activity where EE is constantly specific to forms of play and transport.

Range practice may have a higher health benefit for senior golfers because of their diminished capacity. This same concept of threshold reached compared to maximum endurance capacity is likely applicable to those in rehabilitation from surgery or cancer survivors. The average 3-6 MET activity level required for fitness/health gain does not apply to individuals with significantly reduced maximal capacity [28-33].

Golfers of similar weights with similar play and transport specifics will use energy within a narrow band in actual golf play. Thus, golf can have a moderate effect on an older individual and a light effect or result on a younger individual.

An older individual who has a higher-than-average pace on the driving range may work at a level where golf practice is classified as a moderate activity and has a higher health benefit. And, even at a moderate or standard pace, it likely is more prominent in total EE and health benefits for the week than for a younger golfer. Those with some aspect of recovery or a medical condition may work at a significantly reduced pace of hitting golf balls, yet with their diminished overall capacity, that level of participation may still be meaningful from a health and fitness perspective.

Golf practice routines were not assessed. For all golfers, their hitting/practice rate was faster than the golfer's perception. In addition, there was variation in how golfers switched between clubs. Observation during the trials led to an informal conclusion that longer clubs have a slightly higher energy cost per swing than shorter clubs.

5. Conclusion

While not at the accepted EE needed for health and fitness improvement, golf practice can contribute to health as part of an overall activity addition. It may also prove a fitness development activity for those with lower-level fitness, such as seniors or those in health condition rehabilitation. Further study should investigate the link between practice styles/hitting speed and energy expenditure. In addition, various practice protocols should be determined and categorized, and then those approaches quantified in terms of exercise intensity. This highlights the need for investigating the effects of golf on fitness and health by age/fitness parameters as well as special populations for example, for those with rehabilitation considerations.

Compliance with ethical standards

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the Common Ground Golf Course and the Broken Tee Golf course for their support providing range time for the study subjects.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

Statement of ethical approval

This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the ethics committee and the IRB of the Colorado Center for Health & Sports Science, Denver, Colorado.

Statement of informed consent

Informed written consent was obtained from each participant after a clear explanation of the study objectives and procedures.

Funding

The Common Ground Golf Course and the Broken Tee Golf Course provided range usage and support during the study. The contributing entities were aware of project design, yet did not have input on results or the final report.

References

- [1] The R&A. 2021; GB&I Golf Participation Report [WWW Document].
- [2] National Golf Foundation. Golf participation in the U.S. [<https://www.ngf.org/product/golf-participation-in-the-u-s/>]. [Google Scholar]
- [3] Ainsworth BE, Haskell WL, Leon AS, et al. Compendium of physical activities: classification of energy costs of human physical activities. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 1993;25(1):71-80. doi:10.1249/00005768-199301000-00011
- [4] Kobriger SL, Smith J, Hollman JH, Smith AM. The contribution of golf to daily physical activity recommendations: How many steps does it take to complete a round of golf? *Mayo Clinic Proceedings*, 2006; 81:1041–1043.
- [5] Luscombe J, Murray AD, Jenkins E, Archibald D. A rapid review to identify physical activity accrued while playing golf. *British Medical Journal Open*, 2017; 7, e018993.
- [6] Murray AD, Daines L, Archibald D, Hawkes RA, Schiphorst C, Kelly P, Grant L, Mutrie N. The relationships between golf and health: A scoping review. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 2017; 51:12–19.
- [7] Broman G, Thomas P. Golf: Exercise for health and longevity. In Thomas PR, Ed. *Optimising performance in golf*. Australian Academic Press, 2001:149–63.
- [8] Kasper AM, O'Donnell A, Langan-Evans C, Jones A, Lindsay A, Murray A, Close GL. Assessment of activity energy expenditure during competitive golf: The effects of bag carrying, electric or manual trolleys. *Eur J Sport Sci.* 2022; Mar 7:1-8.
- [9] Zunzer SC, von Duvillard SP, Tschakert G, Mangus BC, Hofmann, P. (2013). Energy expenditure and sex differences of golf playing. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 31, 1045 - 1053.
- [10] Wolkodoff NE, Haase GM, Pennymon BM. Energy expenditure compared to mental focus & score in three modes of golf transport/play. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 2022:16(03), 825-837.
- [11] Kettinen J, Tikkanen H, Venojärvi M. Comparative effectiveness of playing golf to Nordic walking and walking on acute physiological effects on cardiometabolic markers in healthy older adults: a randomised cross-over study. *BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med.* 2023;9(1):e001474. Published 2023 Jan 4. doi:10.1136/bmjsem-2022-001474.
- [12] Stauch M, Liu Y, Giesler M, Lehmann M. Physical activity level during a round of golf on a hilly course. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness.* 1999; 39(4):321-7.
- [13] Kettinen J, Tikkanen H, Venojärvi M. Comparative effectiveness of playing golf to Nordic walking and walking on acute physiological effects on cardiometabolic markers in healthy older adults: a randomised cross-over study. *BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med.* 2023;9(1):e001474. Published 2023 Jan 4. doi:10.1136/bmjsem-2022-001474.
- [14] Ondrak KS, McMurray RG. Comparison of Energy Expenditure of Youth Playing Tennis During Practice and Match Settings. *J Phys Act Health.* 2016;13(6 Suppl 1):S21-S23. doi:10.1123/jpah.2015-0716.
- [15] Hulton AT, Malone JJ, Clarke ND, MacLaren DPM. Energy Requirements and Nutritional Strategies for Male Soccer Players: A Review and Suggestions for Practice. *Nutrients.* 2022;14(3):657. Published 2022 Feb 4. doi:10.3390/nu14030657.
- [16] da Silva AI, Fernandes LC, Fernandez R. Energy expenditure and intensity of physical activity in soccer referees during match-play. *J Sports Sci Med.* 2008;7(3):327-334. Published 2008 Sep 1.
- [17] Johnston L, McNaughton L. The physiological requirements of Soccer refereeing. *Aust J Sci Med Sport.* 1994;26(3-4):67-72.
- [18] Perret C, Mueller G. Validation of a new portable ergospirometric device (Oxycon Mobile) during exercise. *Int J Sports Med.* 2006; 27(5):363-7.
- [19] Akkermans MA, Sillen MJ, Wouters EF, Spruit MA. Validation of the oxycon mobile metabolic system in healthy subjects. *J Sports Sci Med.* 2012; Mar 1;11(1):182-3.
- [20] Montoye AHK, Vondrasek JD, Hancock JB. Validity and Reliability of the VO2 Master Pro for Oxygen Consumption and Ventilation Assessment. *International Journal of Exercise Science.* 2020; Vol.13:(4):1382 - 1401.
- [21] Willis EA, Herrmann SD, Hastert M, et al. Older Adult Compendium of Physical Activities: Energy costs of human activities in adults aged 60 and older. *J Sport Health Sci.* 2024;13(1):13-17. doi:10.1016/j.jshs.2023.10.007.

- [22] Gormley SE, Swain DP, High R, Spina RJ, Dowling EA, Kotipalli US, Gandrakota R. Effect of intensity of aerobic training on VO₂max. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2008; Jul;40(7):1336-43.
- [23] Rogers MA, Hagberg JM, Martin WH 3rd, Ehsani AA, Holloszy JO. Decline in VO₂max with aging in master athletes and sedentary men. *J Appl Physiol.* 1990; 68(5):2195-9.
- [24] Burtscher J, Strasser B, Burtscher M, Millet GP. The Impact of Training on the Loss of Cardiorespiratory Fitness in Aging Masters Endurance Athletes. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2022; 19(17):11050.
- [25] Strasser B, Burtscher M. Survival of the fittest: VO₂max, a key predictor of longevity?. *Front Biosci (Landmark Ed).* 2018;23(8):1505-1516. Published 2018 Mar 1. doi:10.2741/4657.
- [26] Shephard, R. J. (2008). Maximal oxygen intake and independence in old age. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, published online April 10, 2008, Doi:10.1136/bjism.2007.044800.
- [27] Broman G, Johnsson L, Kaijser L. Golf: a high intensity interval activity for elderly men. *Aging Clin Exp Res.* 2004; 16(5):375-81.
- [28] Schneider J, Schlüter K, Wiskemann J, Rosenberger F. Do we underestimate maximal oxygen uptake in cancer survivors? Findings from a supramaximal verification test. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab.* 2020;45(5):486-492. doi:10.1139/apnm-2019-0560.
- [29] Burnett D, Kluding P, Porter C, Fabian C, Klemp J. Cardiorespiratory fitness in breast cancer survivors. *Springerplus.* 2013;2(1):68. doi:10.1186/2193-1801-2-68.
- [30] Bjørke ACH, Sweegers MG, Buffart LM, Raastad T, Nygren P, Berntsen S. Which exercise prescriptions optimize $\dot{V}O_2$ max during cancer treatment?-A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.* 2019;29(9):1274-1287. doi:10.1111/sms.13442.
- [31] Schneider J, Schlüter K, Sprave T, Wiskemann J, Rosenberger F. Exercise intensity prescription in cancer survivors: ventilatory and lactate thresholds are useful submaximal alternatives to VO₂peak. *Support Care Cancer.* 2020;28(11):5521-5528. doi:10.1007/s00520-020-05407-y.
- [32] Turner J, Hayes S, Reul-Hirche H. Improving the physical status and quality of life of women treated for breast cancer: a pilot study of a structured exercise intervention. *J Surg Oncol.* 2004;86(3):141-146. doi:10.1002/jso.20065